

î>

ITEM NO.11

REGISTRAR COURT. 1

SECTION XIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MRS. RACHNA GUPTA

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 13954/2012

LANCO HILLS TECHNOLOGY PARK PVT.LTD. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DARGAH HAZRATH HUSSAIN SHAH VALI & ORS. Respondent(s)
(with interim relief and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 30104/2012
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)
SLP(C) No.13956/2012
SLP(C) No.15398/2012
SLP(C) No.15399/2012
SLP(C) No.29800/2012
SLP(C) No.29801/2012
SLP(C) No.34590/2012
SLP(C) No.2297-2298/2012
SLP(C) No.1269/2013

Date : 03/07/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr Vikas Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Umesh Kumar Khaitan, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Mehta, Adv.
Ms Tatini Basu, Adv.
Mr Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.
Mr Varinder Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mr Sunil Fernandes, Adv.
Ms Keki Vajpei, Adv.
Mr Kunal Verma, Adv.
Mr G.N.Reddy, Adv.
Mr Chaitanya Puri, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr S Santanam Swaminadhan, Adv.
Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale, Adv.
Mr G George Thomas, Adv.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Hema Joshi
Date: 2015.07.08

Mr. Ejaz Maqbool, Adv.

17:55:05 IST

Reason:

Mr. G. N. Reddy, Adv.
Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.
Mr. Kunal Verma, Adv.
Mr Zaid Ali, Adv.
Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh, Adv.
Mr. P. Vinay Kumar, Adv.

-2-

Item No.11

Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv.
Ms. Laxmi Arvind, Adv.
Ms M Vidhya, Adv.
Mr Parvez A Khan, Adv.
Mr Mudit Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP(C) NO.13954/2012

Service of respondent nos. 4,10,11,13, 15 to 17 is complete but none has entered appearance. The ld. Counsel has mentioned that proof of serving these respondents has already been filed on 28.6.2013.

The ld. Counsel, Mr George Thomas has appeared on behalf of respondent nos. 4 and 17. It is mentioned that vakalatnama and counter affidavit has already been filed. No other respondent has entered appearance.

Respondent no.6 is already served. Vakalatnama is already on record. The ld. Counsel has mentioned that counter affidavit shall be filed during the course of the day.

Vakalatnama and counter affidavit on behalf of respondent no.1 has also been filed however, same is awaited for respondent nos. 2,3,5,8,9 and 12 to 14. Be filed within four weeks.

The ld. counsel for respondent nos. 9 and 14 has also mentioned that vakalatnama shall also be filed during the time granted.

SLP(C) NO.13956/2012

Respondent nos. 3 and 4 have already filed counter affidavit.

-3-

Item No.11

Counter affidavit is awaited in respect of respondent nos. 1 and 2. Be filed within four weeks.

SLP(C) NO.29800/2012

Vakalatnama on behalf of common respondent no.2 has been filed in connected matter but the same is not filed in the instant matter.

Respondent nos. 4 and 7 have already filed counter affidavit.

Counter affidavit is awaited in respect of respondent nos. 1,3,5 and 6. Be filed within four weeks.

SLP(C) NO.29801/2012

Vakalatnama on behalf of common respondent no.2 has been filed in connected matter but the same is not filed in the instant matter.

Counter affidavit in respect of respondent Nos. 1 and 3 to 7 is awaited. Be filed within four weeks as last opportunity.

SLP(C) NO.15398/2012

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent nos. 1 to 4 is awaited. Be filed within four weeks.

Service of respondent no.5 is complete but none has entered appearance.

Registry to report the status of respondent no.6.

SLP(C) NO.15399/2012

Spare copies of the SLP have not been filed by the petitioner to get the notice served on both respondents

-4-

Item No.11

despite the order of the Hon'ble Court.

Matter, therefore, be placed for the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for further directions.

SLP(C) NO.30104/2012

Service report of respondent no.1 is awaited.

Office report is silent about respondent no.2. Registry to report the same. However, the ld. Counsel appearing on his behalf has mentioned that vakalatnama and counter affidavit on behalf of respondent no.2 has already been filed. Registry to verify the same.

Respondent no.3 has duly been served but none has entered appearance.

Counter affidavit of respondent nos. 4 and 5 has also been filed.

SLP(C) NO.34590/2012

Service report with respect to respondent nos. 12 to 15 is still awaited.

The ld. Counsel for respondent no.6 has mentioned that counter affidavit shall be filed during the course of the day.

Respondent no.4 has already filed counter affidavit.

Service of respondent nos. 2,3,5 and 9 to 11 is complete but none has entered appearance.

Registry to report the status of filing of counter affidavit in respect of respondent nos. 1,7 and 8.

-5-

Item No.11

SLP(C) NO.1269/2013

Service of respondent nos. 1 and 2 is complete but none has entered appearance.

Respondent no.3 has filed counter affidavit.

Registry to report the status of filing of counter affidavit in respect of respondent no.4.

SLP(C) NO.2297-98/2012

Among 17 respondents in the first petition and 18 respondents in the second petition herein, respondent nos. 10 to 17 are common in both matters. The ld. Counsel for respondent no.7 in SLP(C) NO.2297/2012 and respondent no.12 in SLP(C) NO.2298/2012 has mentioned that counter affidavit shall be filed within four weeks.

Respondent no.10 and 17 in the first matter and respondent no.4 and 15 in the second matter have yet to file counter affidavit. Be filed within four weeks as last opportunity.

Service of respondent nos. 1 to 3 and 6 in SLP(C) NO.2298/2012 is complete but none has entered appearance.

With respect to the remaining unserved respondents in both petitions herein, petitioner needs to take fresh steps to effect service. Be taken within three weeks. Notices thereafter be issued.

List again on 28.8.2015.

(RACHNA GUPTA)
Registrar