

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. PAWAN DEV KOTWAL

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 13954/2012

LANCO HILLS TECHNOLOGY PARK PVT.LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DARGAH HAZRATH HUSSAIN SHAH VALI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(C) Nos.13956, 15398, 29800, 29801, 30104, 34590,/2012,
1269/2013, 2297-2298/2014
(with office report)

Date : 11/01/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Aditi Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Umesh Kumar Khaitan, Adv.
Mr. Ejaz Maqbool, Adv.
Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Mehta, Adv.
Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv.
Mr. M. Rambabu, Adv.
Ms. N. Eswara Rao, Adv.
Mr. M. Rambabu, Adv.
Ms. Tatini Basu, Adv.
Mr. Kunal Verma, Adv.
Mr. Mudit Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Adv.
Mr. C. George Thomas, Adv.
Mr. Ejaz Maqbool, Adv.
Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.
Ms. Poonam Prasad, Adv.
Ms. Laxmi Arvind, Adv.
Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh, Adv.
Mr. Anil Kr. Tandale, Adv.
Mr. P. Vinay Kumar, Adv.
Mr. G.N. Reddy, Adv.
Mr. Yash Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv.
Mr. Kunal Verma, Adv.
Mr. Jayant Kr. Mehta, Adv.
Mr. Varinder Kr. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Mushtaq Ahmad, Adv.
Mr. Naveen R. Nath, Adv.
Mr. Anil Kr. Tandale, Adv.
Mr. Rishi Kesh, Adv.
Mr. Lalit Bhasin, Adv.

Ms. Nina Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Anushree Menon, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Mehta, Adv.
Mr. Mudit Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Shruti Jose, Adv.
Mr. Ashish Dholakia, Adv.
Mr. D. Kishore Kumaar, Adv.
Mr. Rishi Maheshwari, Adv.
Ms. Anne Mathew, Adv.
Mr. P.S. Sudheer, Adv.
Mr. M. Shoam Alam, Adv.
Mr. S. Santanam Swaminadhan, Adv.
Ms. Nishtha Khurana, Adv.
Ms. Insha Mir, Adv.
Ms. Vidya Nair, Adv.
Mr. T. Anil Kumar, Adv.
Mr. S. Udaya K. Sagar, Adv.
Mr. Krishna Kr. Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Ld. Counsel for the parties submit that Memo of Parties have been served wrongly in SLP(C) No.13954/2012 and accordingly there is misrepresentation of the Ld. Counsel appearing for the respondents as regards their number is concerned which need to be corrected. The Ld. Counsel appearing for the parties shall assist the Registry and the Registry to correct accordingly and submit report.

SLP(C) No. 13954/2012

Counter affidavit has been filed by respondent Nos.1, 4, 8, 12, 14 and 17 whereas opportunities of respondent Nos.2, 3, 5 and 12 to 14 has already been declined.

SLP(C) Nos.13956/2012

Service is complete.

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent Nos.3 and 4 has been filed.

Opportunity for respondent Nos.1 and 2 to file the counter affidavit has already been declined.

SLP(C) No.15398/2012

Respondent Nos.1, 2 and 5 have already filed counter affidavit.

Respondent Nos.3 and 4 have not filed the counter affidavit, despite last opportunity given. As such, further opportunity is declined.

Service of respondent No.6 is complete but none has entered appearance.

SLP(C) No.29800 and 29801/2012

Four weeks' time, as last opportunity, is granted to the petitioner for taking fresh steps for service of respondent No.2.

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent Nos.3, 4 and 7 has been filed.

Respondent Nos.1, 5 and 6 have not filed the counter affidavit, despite last opportunity having been granted. As such, further opportunity is declined.

SLP(C) No.30104/2012

Service is complete.

Nobody appears for respondent Nos.1 and 3, despite due service.

Respondent Nos.2, 4 and 5 have already filed the counter affidavit.

SLP(C) No.34590/2012

Respondent Nos.4, 6 and 15 have filed counter affidavit.

Nobody appears for respondent Nos.2, 3, 5 and 9 to 11, despite due service.

Respondent Nos.7 and 12 have not filed the counter affidavit, despite last opportunities having been granted. As such, further opportunity is declined.

Four weeks' time, as last opportunity, is granted to the petitioner for taking fresh steps for service of respondent Nos.13 and 14.

SLP(C) No.1269/2013,

Respondent No.3 has already filed the counter affidavit.

Opportunity for respondent No.4 to file the counter affidavit has already been declined.

Nobody appears for respondent Nos.1 and 2, despite due service.

SLP(C) No.2297-2298/2014

The Ld. Counsel for respondent No.7 in SLP(C) No.2297/2014 and respondent No.12 in SLP(C) No.2298/2014 submits that they have filed counter affidavit on 19.8.2015. Registry to verify the same and report.

Respondent No.17 in SLP(C) No.2297/2014 has also filed the counter affidavit.

Respondent No.10 in SLP(C) No.2297/2014 and respondent No.15 in SLP(C) No.2298/2014 have not filed the counter affidavit, despite the last opportunity having been granted. As such, further opportunity is declined.

Respondent No.8 in SLP(C) No.2297/2014 seeks time for filing counter affidavit. Four weeks' time, as last opportunity, is granted for the same.

Respondent Nos.7 and 17 in SLP(C) No.2297/2014 and respondent Nos.4 and 12 in SLP(C) No.2298/2014 have already filed counter affidavit.

Nobody appears for respondent Nos.1 to 3 and 6 in SLP(C) No.2298/2014, despite due service.

Respondent Nos.1 to 6, 9 and 11 to 16 in SLP(C) No.2297/2014 and respondent Nos.5, 7 to 11, 13, 14, 16 to 18 in SLP(C) No.2298/2014 have yet not been served. Four weeks' time, as last opportunity, is granted to the petitioner for taking fresh steps for service of the said respondents.

List again on 11.3.2016.

(PAWAN DEV KOTWAL)
Registrar