

LISTED ON :
COURT NO.:
ITEM NO. :

SECTION- XII A
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 10738, 10768, 10769, 10770, 10771, 10772, 10773, 10774, 10775, 10776-10777 OF 2016

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 5, 6, 7 in CA No. 10738 of 2016

(Applications for substitution filed by Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate, Impleadment & Official Translation filed by Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.4 in CA No. 10768 of 2016

(Application for Substitution filed by Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.2, 3, 4 AND I.A...../2016 in CA No. 10769 of 2016

(Application for Directions, Interventions filed by Mr. Satya Mitra, Advocate and Substitution filed by Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.1, 2 AND 3 in CA No. 10770 of 2016

(Application for Directions, Interventions filed by Mr. Satya Mitra, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.2 AND 3 in CA No. 10774 of 2016

(Application for Interventions filed by Mr. Satya Mitra, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.../2017 in CA Nos. 10776-10777 of 2016

(Applications for correction of name filed by Mrs. Laxmi Arvind, Advocate)

LANCO HILLS TECHNOLOGY PARK PVT. LTD. ETC.ETC. ...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

DARGAH HAZRATH HUSSAIN SHAH VALI
& ORS ETC. ETC.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

These are the appeals by Special leave filed against the Judgment and Order dated 3rd April, 2012 in CRP No. 1384 of 2011(CA Nos.10738 and 10773 of 2016), WP. No. 4515 of 2008 (CA No. 10768/2016), WP. No. 23578 of 2007 (CA Nos. 10769, 10770, 10771, 10772 of 2016), WP. No. 6148 of 2007 (CA No.10774 of 2016), CRP No. 1384 of 2011 & 4958 of 2007(CA Nos. 10776-10777 of 2016) and

Order dated 27th April, 2012 in W.P.No. 33801 of 2011(CA No. 10775 of 2016) of the High Court of Judicature of Andhra Pradesh at Hyderabad.

It is submitted that I.As. In SLP (C) No. 15398 and 15399 of 2012 were listed the Hon'ble Court on 28th October, 2016 when the following order was passed :-

“We are informed that number of SLPs and a writ petition bearing no. SLP(C) no. 13954/2012, SLP(C) no. 13956/2012, SLP(C) no. 15398/2012, SLP(C) no. 29800/2012, SLP(C) no. 29801/2012, SLP(C) no. 30104/2012, SLP(C) no. 34590/2012, SLP(C) no. 1269/2013, SLP(C) nos. 2297-2298/2014 and WP(C) no. 677/2015 connected with the matters listed today are pending in this Court.

All the above-mentioned matters are taken on board today.

Leave is granted in the all special leave petitions listed today including the matters taken on board.

List all the matters on 21st February, 2017.

IA for directions in Civil Appeals arising out of SLP(C) no. 15398/2012 and SLP(C) no. 15399/2012 listed today, be also listed along with the appeals.”

It is submitted that the service is complete in CA.No.10768. 10774, 10775 of 2016.

It is further submitted that the service is incomplete in CA.Nos.10738, 10769, 10770, 10771, 10772, 10773, 10776-10777 of 2016.

It is also submitted that Counsel for the Appellant in CA.Nos.10769, 10770, 10771, 10772, 10773 has not filed copies of the Petition of Appeal for service on Respondents in view of Order XIX, Rule 7(i) of Supreme Court Rules, 2013, despite request.

Detailed office reports of each matter is as under:

CA No. 10738 of 2016(@SLP(C) No. 13954 of 2012)

There are 17 Respondents and service of NLPA is incomplete on Respondent Nos. 11, 15 and 16.

It is submitted that Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate has on 2nd August, 2016 filed application for Substitution of Respondent No. 2 by Telangana State Industrial

Infrastructure Corporation Ltd. and the said application has been registered as I.A. No. 5 and copy of the same is placed with Appeal paper-books.

It is further submitted that Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, Advocate has on 17th August, 2016 filed application for impleadment as a party petitioner alongwith application for exemption from filing Official translation. The said applications have been registered as I.A. Nos. 6 & 7 and copy of the same is placed with Appeal paper-books.

Sr. No.	Respondent Number	Service Position
1.	Respondent No. 1	Represented by Mr. L.R. Singh, Advocate
2.	Respondent No. 2	Represented by Guntur Prabhakar, Advocate
3.	Respondent No. 3	Represented by Mr. S. Udaya Sagar, Advocate
4.	Respondent No. 4	Represented by Mr. Ejaj Maqbool, Advocate
5.	Respondent Nos.5 & 6	Represented by Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Advocate
6.	Respondent No. 7	Duly signed AD card received
7.	Respondent No. 8	Represented by Mr. Mudit Sharma, Advocate
8.	Respondent No. 9	Represented by Anil Kumar Tandale, Advocate
9.	Respondent No. 10	Affidavit
10.	Respondent No. 11	Service is incomplete.
11.	Respondent No. 12	Represented by Mrs. Laxmi Arvind, Advocate
12.	Respondent No. 13	Represented by Mr. Kunal Verma, Advocate
13.	Respondent No. 14	Represented by Mr. A.K. Tandale, Advocate
14.	Respondent No. 15 & 16	Service is incomplete.
15.	Respondent No. 17	Represented by Mr. P.S. Sudheer

CA No. 10768 of 2016(@SLP(C) No. 13956 of 2012)

There are 4 Respondents and service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate has on 2nd August, 2016 filed application for Substitution of Respondent No. 2 by Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Ltd. and the said application has been registered as I.A. No. 4 and copy of the same is placed with Appeal paper-books.

Sr. No.	Respondent Number	Service Position
1.	Respondent No. 1	Represented by Guntur Prabhakar, Advocate
2.	Respondent No. 2	Represented by T.V. Ratnam, Advocate
3.	Respondent No. 3	Represented by Mr. Ejaj Maqbool, Advocate
4.	Respondent No. 4	Represented by Mr. L.R. Singh, Advocate

CA No. 10769 of 2016(@SLP(C) No. 15398 of 2012)

There are 6 Respondents and service of NLPA is incomplete on Respondent Nos. 3 and 6.

It is submitted that Counsel for the Appellant has not filed 3 set of copies of the Petition of Appeal for service on Respondent Nos. 3 and 6 and for the record of High Court in view of Order XIX, Rule 7(i) of Supreme Court Rules, 2013, despite request.

It is further submitted that Mr. Ejaj Maqbool, Advocate has on 26.09.2013 filed application for directions which has been registered as I.A. No. 2 and is placed with appeal paper books.

It is also submitted that Mr. Satya Mitra, Advocate has on 21st December, 2013 filed two separate applications for intervention of applicants which have been registered as I.A. Nos. 3 and 4 and copy of the same is placed with Appeal paper-books.

It is also submitted that Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate has on 6th August, 2016 filed application for Substitution of Appellant by Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Ltd. and the said application is defective as the Counsel has not served the copy of the Counsel for Respondents. However, unregistered application is placed with Appeal paper-books.

Sr. No.	Respondent Number	Service Position
1.	Respondent No. 1	Represented by Mr. Ejaj Maqbool, Advocate
2.	Respondent Nos. 2 and 5	Represented by Mr. L.R. Singh, Advocate
3.	Respondent No. 3	Service is incomplete.
4.	Respondent No. 4	Represented by Mr. Jayant K. Mehta, Advocate

5.	Respondent No. 6	Name of Mr. S. Uday Sagar, Advocate has been updated pursuant to Hon'ble Court's order dated 21.10.2016
----	------------------	---

CA No. 10770 of 2016(@SLP(C) No. 15399 of 2012)

There are Respondents and service of NLPA is incomplete on Respondent No. 3.

It is submitted that Counsel for the Appellant has not filed 2 set of copies of the Petition of Appeal for service on Respondent No. 3 and for the record of High Court in view of Order XIX, Rule 7(i) of Supreme Court Rules, 2013, despite request.

It is further submitted that Mr. Ejaj Maqbool, Advocate has on 26.09.2013 filed application for directions which has been registered as I.A. No. 1 and is placed with appeal paper books.

It is also submitted that Mr. Satya Mitra, Advocate has on 21st December, 2013 filed two separate applications for intervention of applicants which have been registered as I.A. Nos. 2 and 3 and copy of the same is placed with Appeal paper-books.

Sr. No.	Respondent Number	Service Position
1.	Respondent No. 1	Represented by Mr. Ejaj Maqbool, Advocate
2.	Respondent Nos. 2	Represented by Mr. L.R. Singh, Advocate
3.	Respondent No. 3	Service is incomplete.

CA No. 10771 of 2016(@SLP(C) No. 29800 of 2012)

There are 7 Respondents and service of NLPA is incomplete on Respondent No. 2.

It is submitted that Counsel for the Appellant has not filed 2 set of copies of the Petition of Appeal for service on Respondent No. 2 and for the record of High Court in view of Order XIX, Rule 7(i) of Supreme Court Rules, 2013, despite request.

Sr. No.	Respondent Number	Service Position
1.	Respondent No. 1	Represented by Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Advocate
2.	Respondent No. 2	Service is incomplete
3.	Respondent No. 3	Represented by Mr. Ejaj Maqbool, Advocate
4.	Respondent No. 4 & 7	Represented by Mr. L.R. Singh, Advocate
5.	Respondent Nos.5 & 6	Represented by Mr. Musthaq Ahmed, Advocate

CA No. 10772 of 2016(@SLP(C) No. 29801 of 2012)

There are 7 Respondents and service of NLPA is incomplete on Respondent No. 2.

It is submitted that Counsel for the Appellant has not filed 2 set of copies of the Petition of Appeal for service on Respondent No. 2 and for the record of High Court in view of Order XIX, Rule 7(i) of Supreme Court Rules, 2013, despite request.

Sr. No.	Respondent Number	Service Position
1.	Respondent No. 1	Represented by Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Advocate
2.	Respondent No. 2	Service is incomplete
3.	Respondent No. 3	Represented by Mr. Ejaj Maqbool, Advocate
4.	Respondent No. 4 & 7	Represented by Mr. L.R. Singh, Advocate
5.	Respondent Nos.5 & 6	Represented by Mr. Musthaq Ahmed, Advocate

CA No. 10773 of 2016(@SLP(C) No. 34590 of 2012)

There are 15 Respondents and service of NLPA is incomplete on Respondent Nos. 3, 13 and 14.

It is submitted that Counsel for the Appellant has not filed 3 set of copies of the Petition of Appeal for service on Respondent Nos. 3, 13 and 14 and for the record of High Court in view of Order XIX, Rule 7(i) of Supreme Court Rules, 2013, despite request.

Sr. No.	Respondent Number	Service Position
1.	Respondent No. 1	Represented by Mr. L.R. Singh, Advocate
2.	Respondent No. 2, 5, 9 to 11	Duly signed AD card received
3.	Respondent No. 3, 13 and 14	Service is incomplete
4.	Respondent No. 4	Represented by Mr. Ejaj Maqbool, Advocate
5.	Respondent No. 6	Represented by Mr. Mudit Sharma, Advocate
6.	Respondent No. 7 and 12	Represented by Anil Kumar Tandale, Advocate
7.	Respondent No. 8	Represented by Mr. Rishi Kesh, Advocate
8.	Respondent No. 15	Represented by Mr. P.S. Sudheer, Advocate

CA No. 10774 of 2016(@SLP(C) No. 30104 of 2012)

There are 5 Respondents and service of NLPA is complete.

It is further submitted that Mr. Satya Mitra, Advocate has on 21st December, 2013 filed application two separate applications for intervention of applicants which have been registered as I.A. Nos. 2 and 3 and copy of the same is placed with Appeal paper-books.

Sr. No.	Respondent Number	Service Position
1.	Respondent No. 1 and 3	A.D. Cards duly signed have been received.
2.	Respondent No. 2	Represented by Mr. Ejaj Maqbool, Advocate
3.	Respondent Nos. 4 & 5	Represented by Mr. L.R. Singh, Advocate

CA No. 10775 of 2016(@SLP(C) No. 1269 of 2013)

There are 4 Respondents and service of NLPA is complete.

Sr. No.	Respondent Number	Service Position
1.	Respondent No. 1 and 2	A.D. Cards duly signed have been received.
2.	Respondent No. 3	Represented by Mr. Ejaj Maqbool, Advocate
3.	Respondent No. 4	Represented by Mr. L.R. Singh, Advocate

CA No. 10776-10777 of 2016(@SLP(C) Nos. 2297-2298 of 2014)

There are 17 Respondents in CA No. 10776 of 2016 and 18 Respondents in CA No. 10777 of 2016 and service of NLPA is incomplete on Respondent Nos. 1 to 6, 9, 12 to 16 in CA No. 10776 of 2016 and Respondent Nos. 5, 7 to 11, 14, 17 & 18 in CA No. 10777 of 2016.

It is submitted that Mrs. Laxmi Arvind, Advocate has on filed an application for correction of name and the same is defective as the Counsel has not served the copy of the same on opposite party counsel.

Sr. No.	Respondents in CA No. 10776	Service Position	Respondents in CA No. 10777	Service Position
1.	R.Nos. 1 to 6, 9, 12 to 16	Service is incomplete	R.Nos. 1 to 3 & 6	AD Card duly signed received
2.	R.No. 7	Represented by Mr. Mudit Sharma, Advocate	R.No 4	Represented by Mr. Ejaj Maqbool, Advocate
3.	R.No. 8	Represented by Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale, Advocate	R.No.12	Represented by Mr. Mudit Sharma, Advocate
4.	R.No. 10	Represented by Mr. Shoeb Alam, Advocate	R.No. 13	Represented by Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale, Advocate
5.	R.No.11	Represented by Mrs. Laxmi Arvind, Advocate	R.No.15	Represented by Mr. Shoeb Alam, Advocate
6.	R.No. 17	Represented by Mr. Ejaj Maqbool, Advocate	R.No.16	Represented by Mrs. Laxmi Arvind, Advocate
7.			R.Nos. 5, 7 to 11, 14, 17 & 18	Service is incomplete

The appeals above mentioned alongwith applications in the aforesaid appeals are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this, the 20th day of February, 2017.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. MR. UMESH KUMAR KHAITAN, ADVOCATE
2. MR. ANIL KUMAR TANDALE, ADVOCATE
3. MR. EJAZ MAQBOOL, ADVOCATE
4. MR. GUNTUR PRABHAKAR, ADVOCATE
5. MR. KUNAL VERMA, ADVOCATE
6. MR. LAKSHMI RAMAN SINGH, ADVOCATE
7. MR. MUDIT SHARMA, ADVOCATE
8. MR. P. VINAY KUMAR, ADVOCATE
9. MR. S. UDAYA KUMAR SAGAR, ADVOCATE
10. MR. SUNIL FERNANDES, ADVOCATE
11. MS. LAXMI ARVIND, ADVOCATE
12. MR. T. V. RATNAM, ADVOCATE
13. MR. VARINDER KUMAR SHARMA, ADVOCATE
14. MR. VIKAS MEHTA, ADVOCATE
15. MS. TATINI BASU, ADVOCATE
16. MR. KUNAL VERMA, ADVOCATE
17. MR. LAKSHMI RAMAN SINGH, ADVOCATE
18. MR. JAYANT KUMAR MEHTA, ADVOCATE
19. MR. SATYA MITRAMR, ADVOCATE
20. MR. MUSHTAQ AHMAD, ADVOCATE
21. MR. P. S. SUDHEER, ADVOCATE
22. MR. RISHI KESH, ADVOCATE

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR