

ITEM NO.110

COURT NO.10

SECTION XIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 10738/2016

LANCO HILLS TECHNOLOGY PARK PVT.LTD.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

DARGAH HAZRATH HUSSAIN SHAH VALI &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for clarification and impleadment and exemption from filing official English translation and substitution and interim relief and office report)

WITH

T.P.(C) No. 1236/2012

(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 10768/2016

(With appln.(s) for substitution and Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 10769/2016

(With (With (With (With appln.(s) for intervention and appln.(s) for substitution and appln.(s) for intervention and appln.(s) for directions and Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 10770/2016

(With (With (With appln.(s) for intervention and appln.(s) for directions and appln.(s) for intervention and Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 10771/2016

Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 10772/2016

Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 10773/2016

Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 10774/2016

(With (With appln.(s) for intervention and appln.(s) for intervention and Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 10775/2016

Office Report)

C.A. No. 10776-10777/2016

(With appln.(s) for correcting the name of petitioner(s)/ respondent(s) and Office Report)

W.P.(C) No. 246/2015

Office Report)  
W.P.(C) No. 677/2015  
(With Office Report)

W.P.(C) No. 556/2015  
(With Office Report)

Date : 28/02/2017 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAFULLA C. PANT

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Kapil Sibal, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Deepak Khurana, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Bansal, Adv.  
Mr. Umesh Kumar Khaitan, Adv.

Mr. Sridhar Potaraju, Adv.  
Mr. gaichanpou Gangmei, Adkv.  
Ms. Sindwra, Adv.

Mr. T. V. Ratnam, Adv.

Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.

Mr. Varun Thakur, Adv.  
Ms. Shraddha Saran, Adv.  
Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, adv.  
Mr. Yugal K. Prasad, Adv.  
Ms. Parul Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv.

Ms. Nalini Chidambaram, Sr.Adv.  
Ms. Anushree Menon, Adv.  
Mr. Vikas Mehta, Adv.

Mr. M. Rambabu, Adv.  
Mr. N. Eswara Rao, Adv.  
Ms. Tatini Basu, Adv.

Mr. Kunal Verma, Adv.

Ms. Laxmi Arvind, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale, Adv.

Mr. Huzefa A. Ahmadi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. K.V. Vishwanathan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Guru Krishna Kumar, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Shafeeq Rehman Mahajir, adv.

Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Adv.

Mr. Nakul Dewan, Adv.

Mr. Ejaz Maqbool, Adv.

Mr. C. George Thomas, Adv.

Ms. Akriti Chaubey, Adv.

Ms. Qurratulain, Adv.

Ms. Tanya Shree, Adv.

Mr. Zain Maqbool, Adv.

Mr. G. N. Reddy, Adv.

Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.

Mr. Kunal Verma, Adv.

Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.

Mr. Jubair Ahmad Khan, Adv.

Mr. Zaid Ali, Adv.

Mr. Tamim Qadri, Adv.

Mr. Mudasir Nabi, Adv.

Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh, Adv.

Mr. Lalit Bhasi, Adv.

Ms. Nina Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Mudit Sharma, Adv.

Mr. P. Vinay Kumar, Adv.

Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Adv.

Mr. Atul Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Abhinav Agnihotri, Adv.

Mr. Abhinav Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv.

Ms. Laxmi Arvind, Adv.

Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Adv.

Mr. Jayant Kumar Mehta, Adv.

Mr. P. Vinay Kumar, adv.

Mr. Satya Mitra, Adv.

Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Adv.

Mr. Mushtaq Ahmad, Adv.

Mr. G.N. Reddy, Adv.

Mr. Mudit Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ashish Dholakia, adv.

Mr. D. Kishore Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Gautam Bajaj, Adv.

Mr. rishi Maheshwari, Adv.

Ms. Anne Mathew, Adv.

Ms. Mayuri Nayyar Chawla, Adv.

Ms. Shruti Jose, Adv.

Mr. P.S. Sudheer, Adv.

Mr. Rishi Kesh, Adv.

Mr. Naveen R. Nath, Adv.

Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

I.A. No.4 of 2016 in C.A. No. 10768 of 2016

This application has been filed by the applicant - Mr. T.V. Ratnam, learned counsel for substitution of Respondent No.2 i.e. Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited with Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation. Learned counsel for the other side has no objection. The application is, accordingly, allowed.

Let the cause title be amended, as prayed for in the application.

I.A. No..... of 2016 in C.A. No. 10769 of 2016

This application has been filed by the applicant - Mr. T.V. Ratnam, learned counsel for substitution of the appellant i.e. Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited with Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation. Learned counsel for the other side has no objection. The application is, accordingly, allowed.

Let the cause title be amended, as prayed for in the application.

It appears from the office report that in Civil Appeal Nos. 10738, 10769, 10770, 10771, 10772, 10773, 10776-10777 of 2016, service is not complete.

List all the matters after eight weeks.

In the meantime, let the service be completed.

Transfer Petition(C) No. 1236 of 2012

It is represented by Mr. Sridhar Potaraju, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that this transfer petition has become infructuous, in view of the fact that the Writ Petition No. 34389 of 2011, pending before the High Court of

Judicature for the States of Telangana and Andhra Pradesh, has already been disposed of by it.

The transfer petition is, accordingly, dismissed as having become infructuous.

[SUKHBIR PAUL KAUR]  
A.R.-CUM-P.S.

[S.S.R. KRISHNA]  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR