

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Anshul Narayan, Addl. Standing
Counsel, Adv.
Mrs. Vineeta Singh, Adv.
Mr. Anshuman Harsh, Adv.
Mr. Prem Prakash, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The High Court has denied bail to the petitioner in connection with Cyber Police Station Case No. 95/2025, Nawada, Bihar registered for the offence punishable under Sections 303(2), 318(2), 318(4), 319(2), 336(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2), 317(5), 317(4), 111 and 3(5), respectively, of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short, "the BNS, 2023") and Sections 66, 66B, 66C and 66D, respectively of the Information and Technology Act, 2000 (for short, "the IT Act").

2. What we have been able to gather on plain reading of the impugned order passed by the High Court is that two mobile phones were recovered from the possession of

the petitioner before us.

3. The case of the prosecution is one of cybercrime. It is not clear from the materials on record how the recovery of two mobile phones from the possession of the petitioner connects him with the alleged crime.

4. Be that as it may, we take into consideration the following aspects:-

(I) The investigation is over and charge-sheet has been filed.

(II) The petitioner is in judicial custody since July, 2025.

(III) All offences are magistrate-triable.

(IV) No antecedents.

5. In the result, we order that the petitioner be released on bail forthwith, if not required in any other case, subject to terms and conditions that the Trial Court may deem fit to impose.

6. In the aforesaid, the Special Leave Petition stands disposed of.

7. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(CHANDRESH)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)