

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 510 OF 2009

HEMA MEGHWAL Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAKESH KUMAR MEGHWAL Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 05/04/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK VERMA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Anil K. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Nagendra Singh, Adv.
Mr. Vishwa Pal Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Despite service of notice respondent has chosen not to appear.

Heard learned counsel for petitioner.

The transfer petition is allowed in terms of the signed order.

(R.K.Dhawan)
AR-cum-PS

(Veera Verma)
Assistant Registrar

(Signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(C)NO.510 OF 2009

HEMA MEGHWAL ...PETITIONER.

VERSUS

RAKESH KUMAR MEGHWAL ...RESPONDENT.

O R D E R

Despite service of notice respondent has chosen not to appear.

Heard learned counsel for petitioner.

The petitioner-wife filed this petition seeking transfer of the case filed by the respondent-husband

before the Family Court, Udaipur (Rajasthan). The petitioner-wife is staying with her parents and prays for transfer of the case from Family Court, Udaipur to the Competent Court at Ratlam (M.P.)

Accordingly, we order for transfer of Suit no.442 of 2008 titled: Rakesh Kumar Meghwal vs. Hema Meghwal pending in the Family Court, Udaipur (Rajasthan) to the Competent Court at Ratlam (M.P.). The Family Court, Udaipur is directed to send all records to the Competent Court at Ratlam (M.P.)

The transfer petition is allowed accordingly.

.....CJI
(K.G. BALAKRISHNAN)

.....J
(DEEPAK VERMA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 5, 2010.