

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO(s). 1091 OF 2010
IN
CIVIL APPEAL 5837/2006

NATIONAL COMMISSION FOR WOMEN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

S.B.BATRA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file review petition and c/delay in filing review petition and impleadment and exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment and office report)

Date: 15/07/2010 This Petition was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.M. PANCHAL

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

Application for seeking permission to file the review petition is allowed.

Application for impleadment is rejected.

The review petition is dismissed both on the ground of delay as well as on merits.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO(s). 1091 OF 2010
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5837 OF 2006

NATIONAL COMMISSION FOR WOMEN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

S.B.BATRA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

This review petition has been filed seeking review of this Court's order dated 15.12.2006 passed in Civil Appeal No. 5837/2006.

It is pertinent to note that the petitioner herein was not a party in the proceedings of Civil Appeal No. 5837/2006.

Application for seeking permission to file the review petition is allowed.

However, there is a delay of 1212 days in filing the review petition. This ground is enough to dismiss the review petition. Even otherwise, we have carefully gone through the review petition and the connected papers, and do not find any error apparent on the face of the record which calls for review of the order dated 15.12.2006. Application for impleadment is rejected.

The review petition is, accordingly, dismissed both on the ground of delay as well as on merits.

.....J.
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

.....J.
(J.M. PANCHAL)

NEW DELHI
JULY 15, 2010.