

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 86 OF 2014
(arising out of SLP (C) No. 17275 of 2010)

RAJASTHAN STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION & ANR.	APPELLANT(S)	
VERSUS			
AZAD MOHAMMAD	RESPONDENT(S)	

O R D E R

Leave granted.

The question of law raised in this appeal is already covered by a judgment of this Court in R.S.R.T.C. v. Deen Dayal Sharma, (2010) 6 SCC 697, wherein this Court held that the Civil Court has no power to order reinstatement.

In view of the above, this appeal is allowed and the judgments of the Courts below are set aside.

If the respondent so wishes, he is at liberty to approach the appropriate forum for working out his remedy.

No costs.

.....J.
(K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN)

.....J.
(A.K. SIKRI)

NEW DELHI,
JANUARY 03, 2014.

ITEM NO.206

COURT NO.7

SECTION XV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).17275/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 18/01/2010 in SBCSA No.389/2001 of the HIGH COURT OF RAJASTHAN AT JAIPUR)

RAJASTHAN STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORP.&ANR Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

AZAD MOHAMMAD Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file addl. documents, prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 03/01/2014 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. S.K. Bhattacharya, Adv.
Mr. Niraj Bobby Paonam, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Rana Ranjit Singh, Adv.
Mr. Vishal Ranjan, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.
The appeal is allowed.

| (N.S.K. Kamesh)

| | (Renuka Sadana)

|
| Court Master

| | Court Master

|

(signed order is placed on the file)