

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2010
(CC 8488/2010)
(From the judgement and order dated 09/07/2009 in ITA No.500/2009
of The HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)

C.I.T. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S TATA ENG.& LOCOMOTIVE CO.LTD. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

Date: 16/08/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN

For Petitioner(s)	Mr. Goolam E. Vahanvati,AG. Mr. Rohit Sharma,Adv. Mr. Rupesh Kumar,Adv. Mr. B.V. Balaram Das,Adv.
For Respondent(s)	Mr. Kavin Gulati,Adv. Ms. Nandini Gore,Adv. Mr. Debmalya Banerjee,Adv. Mr. Sarthak Nayak,Adv. Ms. Mahak Bhalla,Adv. Mr. R.N. Karanjawala,Adv. Ms. M. Karanjawala,Adv. for M/s. Karanjawala and Co.,Advs.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.
Delay condoned.

...2/-

- 2 -

After passing of the impugned order, the Parliament has amended the law vide Finance Act No.14 of 2010. In the circumstances, impugned order is set aside and the matter is remitted to the High Court to consider the question of condonation of delay in the light of the amended law. We make it clear that the Department will take out Notice of Motion within eight weeks from today and will satisfy the High Court that there is sufficient cause for condonation of delay. It is, however, made clear that if the Department fails to take out Notice of Motion within eight weeks from today, then the matter will not be considered by the High Court.

Subject to above, the special leave petition is disposed of.

[T.I. Rajput]
A.R.-cum-P.S.

[Madhu Saxena]
Assistant Registrar