

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1802 OF 2011

NIRUBEN .....APPELLANT(S)  
VERSUS  
STATE OF GUJARAT ....RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

the We have heard learned counsel appearing for  
ed parties and perused the impugned judgment dat  
in 04.09.2009, passed by the High Court of Gujarat  
Criminal Appeal No.528 of 2004.

In our considered view, the reason assigned by the  
High Court in passing the impugned judgment needs  
no interference as the same is in consonance with law.

Accordingly, there is no merit in this appeal and it  
is dismissed.

.....J  
[M. Y. EQBAL]

.....J  
[ARUN MISHRA]

Signature Not Verified

NEW DELHI;

Digitally signed by

Sanjay Kumar

Date: 2016.01.08

16:35:54 IST

Reason:

ITEM NO.117

JANUARY 07, 2016.

COURT NO.9

SECTION IIB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No.1802/2011

NIRUBEN

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF GUJARAT

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date : 07/01/2016 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

For Appellant(s) Mr. B. S. Banthia, Adv.  
Mr. Bhaskar Roy, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Jesal Wahi, Adv.  
For Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Sanjay Kumar-II)  
Court Master

(Indu Pokhriyal)  
Court Master

(Signed Order is placed on the file)