

CONTEMPT P.(Civil) 313 OF 2003
ITEM NO. 8COURT NO. 3 SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Contempt Petition (C) No. 313/2003 in C.A.No.3537/1995
(For Prel. Hearing)

BIRENDRA NARAIN TIWARY

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

B.B. SRIVASTAVA & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for exemption from filing O.T.)

WITH

Contempt Petition (C) Nos. 314-319/2003 in CA Nos. 3545, 3570-72, 3575 &
3591/1995 (For Prel. Hearing)

[RATISH CHANDRA PATHAK & ORS. VS. B.B. SHRIVASTAV & ORS.]

(With appln.f or exemption from filing O.T.)

AND

IA NOS. 235 & 250 in CA 3549/1995

[STATE OF BIHAR & ORS. VS. SUBHASH CHANDRA & ORS.]

(For substitution for bringing the Lrs. Of deceased respondent No. 4 and 6 and c/delay in filing the appln. for substitution)

(CA 3533-3595/1995)

Date : 13/10/2003 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.C. LAHOTI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN

Attorney General For India (NP)

For Petitioner (s)Mr. M.P. Jha, Adv.

in Cont. Petn. 313/03Mr. Ram Ekbal Roy, Adv.

Mr. Anil Kumar Chopra, Adv.

in Cont. Petn. 314-319/03 Mr. Chandra Prakash, Adv.

Ms. Rani Prakash, Adv.

Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh, Adv.

in Ias 235 & 250Mr. Kumar Rajesh Singh, Adv.

Mr. B.B. Singh, Adv.

For Respondent (s)Mr. Anurag Dubey, Adv.

Ms. Upasna Dubey, Adv.

Mr. Aditya Dubey, Adv.

Mr. Devvrat, Adv.

Mr. S.R. Setia, Adv.

Mr. Prem Sunder Jha, Adv.

Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.

Mr. S.B. Upadhyay, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Akhilesh Kumar Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Ashok Kumar Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Chandra Prakash, Adv.
Ms. Rani Prakash, Adv.
Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh, Adv.

Mr. M.P. Jha, Adv.
Mr. Ram Ekbal Roy, Adv.
Mr. Anil Kumar Chopra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel, the Court made the following
O R D E R

Cont Petn. (C) NO. 313 & 314-319/2003

For the present, issue notice to Commissioner-Cum-Secretary to the Government [Secondary Education], Human Resources Development Department, New Secretariat, Patna for the purpose of ascertaining the truth of the averments made in the petition. The Court will thereafter examine if contempt proceedings are required to be initiated.

IA Nos. 235 & 250 in C.A. Nos.3549/95 & 3533-3595/95

Counsel for the appellant undertakes to file the affidavit of service during the course of the day.

KALYANI
(RADHA R. BHATIA)
COURT MASTER