

Mr. Rajiv Mehta,Adv.
Mr. B. Aggarwal,Adv.
Mr. Parthiv Goswami,Adv.

Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra,Adv.

Mr. Anil Shrivastav,Adv.

Mr. Mahinder Singh Dahiya,Adv.

Mr. Pradeep Misra,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Civil Appeal No.7855 of 2004:

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

It has been stated by both the parties that this
appeal has become infructuous as the term has already
expired.

The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed as
infructuous.

Civil Appeal Nos.7854/2004, 7856/2004 and 976/2005:

Heard the learned counsel and perused the records.
It appears that the present appeals have become infructuous
as the term has already expired.

The appeals are, accordingly, dismissed as
infructuous.

....3/-

-3-

Civil Appeal Nos.7858/2004 and 7859/2004:

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, we are
not inclined to interfere with the impugned order. The
appeals are, accordingly, dismissed, leaving the question of
law open to be decided in an appropriate case.

[Alka Dudeja]
Court Master

[Om Prakash]
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.7854 OF 2004

Kesari Devi

...Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.

...Respondent(s)

With Civil Appeal Nos.7855/2004,7856/2004,7858/2004,7859/2004
and 976/2005

O R D E R

Civil Appeal No.7855 of 2004:

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

It has been stated by both the parties that this appeal has become infructuous as the term has already expired.

The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

Civil Appeal Nos.7854/2004, 7856/2004 and 976/2005:

Heard the learned counsel and perused the records.

It

appears that the present appeals have become infructuous as the term has already expired.

The appeals are, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

Civil Appeal Nos.7858/2004 and 7859/2004:

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order. The appeals are, accordingly, dismissed, leaving the question of law open to be decided in an appropriate case.

.....J.

[B.N. AGRAWAL]

.....J.

[G.S. SINGHVI]

New Delhi,
January 30, 2008.