

T  
ITEM NO.41

COURT NO.5

SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).18810/2010

(From the judgment and order dated 17/09/2009 in CR No.4663/2005 of The  
HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

HARYANA WAKF BOARD

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

AMIRCHAND

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 19/08/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Imtiaz Ahmed, Adv.  
Ms. Naghma Imtiaz, Adv.  
For M/S.Equity Lex Associates, Advs.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Santosh Krishna  
Mr. A.Venayagam Balan, Adv.  
Mr. Nikhil Goel, Adv.  
Mr. Marsook Bafaki, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties and we find that a three Judge Bench of this Court in Board of Muslim Wakfs, Rajasthan v. Radhakrishnan and Others(1979) 2 SCC 468 has interpreted a similar provision under Section 6(1) of the WAKF Act, 1954 as in Section 6(1) of the WAKF Act, 1995, but after the said judgment an explanation has been introduced by Act 169/1984, the effect of which has to be examined by us.

Hence we post this matter to be heard on a non-miscellaneous day in the second week of September, 2013.

[KALYANI GUPTA]  
COURT MASTER

[SHARDA KAPOOR]  
COURT MASTER