

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 138 OF 2012

MD. ISLAM

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF DELHI

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard Mr. J.M. Khanna, learned counsel appearing for the appellant-accused.

We have been taken through the judgments of the trial Court as well as of the High Court. We find that the High Court, after appropriately analyzing the evidence, has drawn correct conclusions.

Hence, we do not find any reason to interfere with the judgment dated 30.04.2010 passed by the High Court of Delhi.

Accordingly, we find no merit in the criminal appeal and the same is dismissed.

.....J  
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

.....J  
(AMITAVA ROY)

NEW DELHI;  
September 01, 2016.

ITEM NO.110

COURT NO.9

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s). 138/2012

MD.ISLAM

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF DELHI

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

Date : 01/09/2016 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Appellant(s) Mr. J. M. Khanna, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. R. Balasubramanian, Adv.  
Mr. Santosh Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Kiran Bhardwaj, Adv.  
Mr. D. S. Mahra, AOR  
Mr. P.K. Dey, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The criminal appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(R. NATARAJAN)  
Court Master

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)  
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)