

ITEM NO.61

COURT NO.12

SECTION II-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 4675/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 11-03-2026 in CRLMA No. 7295/2026 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

SATYA PRAKASH BAGLA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 80620/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No. 80628/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 16-03-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. VINOD CHANDRAN

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Gopal Sankaranarayanan, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Apoorv Agarwal, AOR  
Mr. Manav Goyal, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Jaiswal, Adv.  
Mr. Sanchit Aggarwal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Anil Kaushik, ASG  
Mr. Pranjal Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Kartik Sundar, Adv.  
Mr. M.K. Maroria, Adv./AOR

UPON hearing the counsel, the Court made the following  
O R D E R

By the impugned order, the learned Judge of the Delhi High Court advanced the hearing of the petitioner's bail application to 01.04.2026, on which date the learned Judge would perhaps be giving

priority to the matter as it involves a prayer for bail on medical grounds. We are, therefore, not inclined to entertain this special leave petition filed at the instance of the petitioner in relation to the said order.

Further, the learned Additional Solicitor General appearing for the State of NCT of Delhi states that, as per the opinion of the Chief Medical Officer in-charge of Central Jail No. 4 Dispensary at Tihar Jail, Delhi, based on the advice of the attending Urologist at the Safdarjung Hospital, Delhi, urgent hospitalization or specialized medical care of the petitioner is not required at the moment.

In any event, the State shall ensure that proper medical care is extended to the petitioner, if required. In such an event, he may be permitted to be treated by his own doctor at his own expense, pending consideration of the matter by the High Court of Delhi.

Subject to the above observations, the special leave petition is disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(DEEPAK GUGLANI)**  
AR-cum-PS

**(PREETI SAXENA)**  
COURT MASTER (NSH)

\*\* Revised for the purpose of change in counsel's appearance on the directions of Hon'ble Court upon mentioning made on 18.3.2026.

ITEM NO.61

COURT NO.12

SECTION II-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 4675/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 11-03-2026 in CRLMA No. 7295/2026 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

SATYA PRAKASH BAGLA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 80620/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No. 80628/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 16-03-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. VINOD CHANDRAN

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Gopal Sankaranarayanan, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Apoorv Agarwal, AOR  
Mr. Manav Goyal, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Jaiswal, Adv.  
Mr. Sanchit Aggarwal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel, the Court made the following  
O R D E R

By the impugned order, the learned Judge of the Delhi High Court advanced the hearing of the petitioner's bail application to 01.04.2026, on which date the learned Judge would perhaps be giving priority to the matter as it involves a prayer for bail on medical grounds. We are, therefore, not inclined to entertain this special leave petition filed at the instance of the petitioner in relation

to the said order.

Further, the learned Additional Solicitor General appearing for the State of NCT of Delhi states that, as per the opinion of the Chief Medical Officer in-charge of Central Jail No. 4 Dispensary at Tihar Jail, Delhi, based on the advice of the attending Urologist at the Safdarjung Hospital, Delhi, urgent hospitalization or specialized medical care of the petitioner is not required at the moment.

In any event, the State shall ensure that proper medical care is extended to the petitioner, if required. In such an event, he may be permitted to be treated by his own doctor at his own expense, pending consideration of the matter by the High Court of Delhi.

Subject to the above observations, the special leave petition is disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUGLANI)  
AR-cum-PS

(PREETI SAXENA)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)