

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) NO.224 OF 2012

CHARANJEET CHANDERPAL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

ADV GENERAL, STATE OF MAHARASHTRA&amp;ORS

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for ex-parte stay)

WITH T.P.(CRL) NO.225 of 2012

(With appln.(s) for ex-parte stay and office report)

T.P.(CRL) NO.226 of 2012

(With appln.(s) for ex-parte stay and office report)

W.P(CRL.) NO.D14419 of 2012

(With appln.(s) for permission to appear and argue in person and office report)

T.P.(CRL) NO.247 of 2012

(With appln.(s) for ex-parte stay and office report)

T.P.(CRL) NO.255 of 2012

(With appln.(s) for ex-parte stay and office report)

T.P.(CRL) NO.256 of 2012

(With appln.(s) for ex-parte stay and office report)

Date: 30/11/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR

For Petitioner(s) Petitioner-In-Person

For Respondent(s) Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, AOR

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

On mentioning, let this matter be taken on board. It has been mentioned by the petitioner, appearing in-person, that in the order passed on 9th November, 2012, there is a little omission in paragraph 3 of the order. Paragraph 3 of the order indicates that the petitioner would be at liberty to raise all the questions, which he had raised in the transfer petitions before the Bench, which was to consider the matter dispassionately.

Mr. Charanjeet Chanderpal submits that apart from the transfer petitions, a writ petition had also to be indicated, but has been omitted from the said paragraph.

Accordingly, let paragraph 3 of the order of 9th November, 2012, be read to include, both the transfer petitions and the writ petition.

|(Chetan Kumar)  
|Court Master

| |(Juginder Kaur)  
|Assistant Registrar

|