pITEM NO.MM-18 COURT NO.3 SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).14843-44/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 17/03/2010 in OSA No. 447/2009 & OSA No. 448/2009 of The HIGH COURT OF MADRAS)

M.L.RAMAKRISHNAN Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M.L.SAMPATHKUMARAN & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date: 13/05/2010 These Petitions were called on for mentioning today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. V. Giri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. V. Balaji, Adv.
Mr. C. Kannan, Adv.
Mr. Narendra Kumar,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Taken on board.

No ground is made for interference under Article 136 of the Constitution of India. Special Leave Petition is dismissed.

Having regard to the fact that the Division Bench has not said anything specific about the conduct of the petitioner and having regard to the fact that the suit is stated to be at an advanced stage of hearing and as the arrangement by the Division Bench is purely of interim nature, we request the trial Court to dispose of the matter expeditiously.

.....2.

ITEM NO.MM-18 COURT NO.3 DATED 13/5/2010 ....contd.

In paragraph 3 of the impugned order, the High Court uses the words 'in addition to Mr. ML. Ramakrishnan, some other persons who are service oriented and are devotees of Sri Sathya Sai Baba could be also appointed in the form of a Committee'. But there is no specific reference to petitioner in the constitution of the Committee. In view of the above, the petitioner may approach the High Court for necessary clarification as to whether he is also a member of the interim Committee, as proposed in paragraph 3 of the order of the High Court.

(Ravi P. Verma)
Court Master

(M.S. Negi)
Court Master