

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 246 OF 2011

ABP PVT.LTD.& ANR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for ex-parte stay, impleadment, permission to file additional affidavit and office report)  
(For final disposal)

W.P(C) NO. 382 of 2011

(With applications for stay, impleadment, c/delay in filing rejoinder affidavit and office report)

W.P(C) NO. 384 of 2011

(With applications for stay, impleadment, c/delay in filing rejoinder affidavit and office report)

W.P(C) NO. 386 of 2011

(With applications for stay, permission to file additional documents, impleadment, and office report)

W.P(C) NO. 408 of 2011

(With application for exemption, stay, impleadment as party respondent, bringing on record the additional facts and office report)

W.P(C) NO. 510 of 2011

(With applications for interim relief, impleadment and office report)

W.P(C) NO. 514 of 2011

(With application for impleadment and office report)

W.P(C) NO. 538 of 2011

(With application for stay, permission to file additional documents, exemption from filing O.T., early hearing, impleadment and office report)

W.P(C) NO. 546 of 2011

(With application for stay, impleadment and office report)

W.P(C) NO. 87 of 2012

(With application for permission to file additional documents, impleadment as party respondent and office report)

W.P(C) NO. 264 of 2012

(With applications for impleading party, impleadment as party respondent and office report)

...2/-

-2-

Contempt Petition(C) NO. 252 of 2012 in W.P.(C) 538 of 2011

W.P(C) NO. 315 of 2012

(With applications for stay, permission to file additional documents and office report)

(For final disposal)

Date: 08/01/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI

For the appearing  
parties

Mr. F.S. Nariman, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Gopal Jain, Adv.  
Mr. R.N. Karanjawala, Adv.  
Ms. Nandini Gore, Adv.  
Ms. Sonia Nigam, Adv.  
Mrs. Manik Karanjawala, Adv.  
Ms. Tahira Karanjawala, Adv.  
For M/S. Karanjawala & Co.

Mr. K.K. Venugopal, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Akhil Sibal, Adv.  
Mr. R.N. Karanjawala, Adv.  
Ms. Deepmalya Banerjee, Adv.  
Ms. Manik Karanjawala, Adv.  
Mr. Jatin Mongia, Adv.  
Ms. Tahira Karanjawala, Adv.  
Ms. Ashlesha Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Ramdos S.S., Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Shanth Kumar V. Mahale, Adv.  
Mr. Pradeep Sawkar, Adv.  
Mr. Harisha S.R. Adv.  
Mr. Rajesh Mahale, Adv.

Mr. Anil B. Diwan, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Jayant K. Mehta, Adv.  
Ms. Neelima Tripathi, Adv.  
Mr. GVS Rao, Adv.  
Mr. Sukant Vikram, Adv.

Mr. K.K. Venugopal, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sunil Dogra, Adv.  
Mr. Harishankar K., Adv.  
Mr. Vikas Singh Jangra, Adv.  
Mr. Aditya Verma, Adv.

Mr. P.P. Rao, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. K. Datta, Adv.  
Mr. Atul Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Abhay Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Satyajit Sarna, Adv.  
Mr. Diggaj Pathak, Adv.

...3/-

-3-

Mr. P.I. Jose, Adv.  
Mr. Birendra Kumar Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Vishwanath Bahuguna, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Choudhary, Adv.

Mr. Nachiketa Joshi, Adv.  
For Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Jain, Adv.  
Mr. Abhay A. Jena, Adv.  
Ms. Bina Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Sarthak Mehrotra, Adv.

Mr. Parmanand Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Mohan Parasaran, ASG  
Mr. J.S. Atri, Sr. adv.  
Mr. Sukhbeer Kour Bajwa, adv.  
Mr. D.L. Chidanand, Adv.  
Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.  
Ms. Aarthi Rajan, Adv.

Mr. S.N. Terdal, Adv.

Mr. Colin Gonsalves, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Sevtlana C., Adv.  
Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, Adv.  
Mr. B.K. Pal, Adv.

Mr. Pranay Ranjan, Adv.  
Mr. Praneet Ranjan, Adv.  
Mr. Manish Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Thamdan Thomas, Adv.  
Mr. N.M. Varghese, Adv.  
Mr. Tessy Varghese, Adv.  
Mr. K.V. Mohan, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Mr. F.S. Nariman, learned Senior Advocate, appearing for one of the writ petitioners in this batch, stated that after the amendments were allowed some time in the year 2011, amended writ petitions have been filed in several of the cases in the batch. He pointed out that no reply either by the Union of India or by the respondents-workers' unions have been filed to any of the pleadings of the facts brought on record following the amendments.

...4/-

-4-

Mr. Anil Diwan, learned Senior Advocate, appearing for the petitioners stated that in his case there has been no amendments, but no reply has been filed by either the Union of India or the respondents-workers' unions to his writ petition in which many issues of facts are pleaded.

Mr. Mohan Parasaran, learned Additional Solicitor General, prays for some time for filing a comprehensive reply to the pleadings made by the different petitioners in all the writ petitions in this batch.

As prayed by him, two weeks time is allowed for filing a comprehensive counter affidavit on behalf of the Union of India.

It will also be open to the respondents-workers' unions to file their counter affidavits to the amended writ petitions within the time allowed to the Union of India.

It will be open both to the Union of India and the different respondents-workers' unions to file one composite counter affidavit in relation to all the writ petitions copies of which may be served on the counsel for the petitioners for all the cases.

If necessary, one week time is allowed to the writ petitioners for filing their rejoinder affidavit.

Dasti, in addition, is permitted to serve notice on respondent nos.3 and 5 in W.P.(C) No.538 of 2011 and W.P.(C) No.546 of 2011, respectively.

Impleadment applications filed by the different workers groups in all the cases are allowed.

...5/-

-5-

The records from the Wage Board should also be called so as to reach this Court before the next date fixed for hearing.

Put up on February 5, 2013.

|(Neetu Khajuria)

|(Sneh Bala Mehra)

|Sr.P.A.

|Court Master