

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A. 27-30/2015 in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)
No(s). 18265-18266/2013

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02/05/2013
in APO No. 65/2013,02/05/2013 in APO No. 105/2013,02/05/2013 in CP
No. 233/2008 passed by the High Court Of Calcutta)

DUNLOP INDIA LTD

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S E.V.MATHAI AND SONS & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(for directions and intervention and office report)

WITH
CONMT.PET.(C) No. 136/2015 In SLP(C) No. 9298/2013

Date : 14/07/2015 These applications were called on for hearing
today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. H.P. Raval, Sr.Adv.
Mr. C. Mukund, Adv.
Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain,Adv.
Mr. A.K. Jain, Adv.
Mr. P. Jain, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Abhinav Mukerji,Adv.

Mr. Sanjoy Kumar Ghosh, Adv.
Ms. Rupali S. Ghosh, Adv.
Mr. Deba Prasad Mukherjee,Adv.

Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Kejriwal,Adv.
Mr. Sujit Keshri, Adv.

Signature Not Verified

Mr. Nagendra Rai, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Naresh Kumar,Adv.

Digitally signed by

Sukhbir Paul Kaur

Date: 2015.07.15

16:32:41 IST

Reason:

Mr. Aditya Gaggar, Adv.

Mr. O. P. Gaggar,Adv.

-2-

Mr. Parijat Sinha,Adv.
Mr. S.C. Ghosh, Adv.
Ms. Reshmi Rea Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Debjyoti Basu, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Ghosh, Adv.
Mr. Somnath Banerjee, Adv.

Mr. Santosh Mishra,Adv.

Mr. Shekhar Naphade, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Ritin Rai, Adv.

Ms. Sonia Dube, Adv.
Ms. Kanchan Yadav, Adv.
For M/s. Legal Options, Adv.

Mr. P. Chidambaram, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Gourab Banerji, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sameer Parekh, Adv.
Mr. Sumit Goel, Adv.
Mr. Ritesh Isaac, Adv.
Mr. Arjun Garg, Adv.
Mr. Anand Shankar Jha, Adv.
Mr. Vyom Shah, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Vinod Deshmukh, Adv.
For M/s. Parekh & Co., Adv.

Mr. Dipak Bhattacharyya, Adv.
Mr. Anjani K. Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Sr. Adv.
Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Adv.
Mr. Jayant Patel, Adv.
Ms. Mega Aggarwal, Adv.

Mr. Sateesh Galla, Adv.
Mr. Brahm Shankar Kumar, Adv.
Mr. N. Raja Raman, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

It appears that because of the interlocutory applications filed by the parties, the main petitions could not be heard and are pending for the last more than one year.

-2-

We are, therefore, of the view that instead of hearing any of the interlocutory applications, we shall hear the main petitions, as per the convenience of the lawyers.

As jointly agreed, let the main matters along with the applications be listed on 5th August, 2015 at the top of the Board.

Till then, there shall be status quo in respect of all the activities/operation of the petitioners and the respondents.

Learned counsel for all the parties are directed to file their written submissions.

[INDU POKHRIYAL]
COURT MASTER

[SUKHBIR PAUL KAUR]
A.R.-CUM-P.S.