

ITEM NO.105

COURT NO.6

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 825 OF 2006

UJAGAR SINGH

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

JASWINDER SINGH @ SHINDER SINGH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 826 OF 2006

(With appln.(s) for directions and with office report)

Date: 25/08/2010 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. A. Sharan, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Reeta Dewan Puri, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Puri, Adv.
Mr. P.N. Puri, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.

Mr. S.R. Singh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Manoj Prasad, Adv.
Mr. AK. Mehta, Adv.
Mr. Inderjit Das, Adv.
Mr. Sadashiv Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Satendra Singh Kashyap, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 825 OF 2006

The Appeal is disposed of.

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 826 OF 2006

The Appeal is dismissed.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 825 OF 2006

UJAGAR SINGH

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

JASWINDER SINGH @ SHINDER SINGH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(S). 826 OF 2006

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 825 OF 2006

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

This Appeal, by special leave, has been filed against the impugned judgment of the High Court of Punjab & Haryana dated 22.02.2006.

The facts of the case have already been mentioned in the impugned judgment and hence we are not repeating the same here.

On the facts of the case we are of the opinion that the High Court in the impugned judgment has not properly considered the evidence on record. We are not expressing any opinion either way about the evidence.

Hence, we set aside the impugned judgment of the High Court and remand the matter to the High Court to dispose of

:1:
:2:

the appeal afresh expeditiously after considering the entire evidence and hearing learned counsel for the parties.

The Appeal is disposed of accordingly.

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 826 OF 2006

The Appeal is dismissed.

.....J.
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

.....J.
(T.S. THAKUR)

NEW DELHI
AUGUST 25, 2010.