

S U P R E M E                      C O U R T   O F   I N D I A  
R E C O R D   O F   P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2010  
CC 8166/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 25/05/2009 in  
of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

FAO No. 442/2006

UNION OF INDIA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MAHAVIR PRASAD GUPTA

Respondent(s)

With I.A.No.1 (C/delay in filing SLP and office report)

Date: 06/07/2010                      This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN  
          HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE

For Petitioner(s)                      Mr.    H.P. Rawal, ASG  
  Mr.    S. Nanda Kumar, Adv.  
  Mr.    Keshav C. Thakur, Adv.  
  Ms.    Padmalakshmi Nigam, Adv.  
  Mr.    Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

The matter refers to reference to arbitration in regard to two disputes, the total claim of which is Rs.2.5 lakhs. An application under Section 20 of the Arbitration Act, 1940 filed by the respondent in the year 1994 was disposed of by the High Court in the year 2005 specifically recording the submission of the learned counsel for the Northern Railways that the said two disputes could be referred to arbitration and that there is no objection from the side of the Northern Railways if they are referred to arbitration.

.....2.

- 2-

No application was filed before the learned single Judge stating that the said concession by petitioner's counsel was erroneous. There is no ground in the SLP stating that the concession was erroneously made.

In the circumstances, we are surprised that an SLP has been filed challenging the order of the Division Bench confirming the order of the learned single Judge. Special leave petition is dismissed.

Court Master

Court Master