

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR H.B. PRABHAKARA SASTRY

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 587 OF 2011

SAKSHI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PANKAJ

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 08/08/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Rishi Malhotra,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

None appears.

The office report says that the acknowledgment card has been returned from the respondent-addressee but it has been signed by someone.

The office is required to be more clear in making the submission that 'someone has signed'. By merely looking at the signature it cannot be judged whether the addressee himself has signed or someone else. If there is any postal remark or endorsement by the recipient of the letter to the effect that he is not addressee, it is only then it can be reported that someone else has received the notice but not the addressee himself.

Item No.55

Hence, section is required to be more cautious in making such report about the service of the notice.

Since office has stated that it was somebody else who has received the acknowledgment, petitioner has to take

fresh steps against the respondents for confirming service upon the respondent within four weeks from today.

List again on 23.9.2011.

(H.B.PRABHAKARA SASTRY)
REGISTRAR

hj
ITEM NO.55 REGISTRAR COURT.1 SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR H.B. PRABHAKARA SASTRY

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 587 OF 2011

SAKSHI Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PANKAJ Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 08/08/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)
Mr. Rishi Malhotra,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

None appears.

The office report says that the acknowledgment card has been returned from the respondent-addressee but it has been signed by someone.

The office is required to be more clear in making the submission that 'someone has signed'. By merely looking at the signature it cannot be judged whether the addressee himself has signed or someone else. If there is any postal remark or endorsement by the recipient of the letter to the effect that he is not addressee, it is only then it can be reported that someone else has received the notice but not

the addressee himself.

-2-

Item No.55

Hence, section is required to be more cautious in making such report about the service of the notice.

Since office has stated that it was somebody else who has received the acknowledgment, petitioner has to take fresh steps against the respondents for confirming service upon the respondent within four weeks from today.

List again on 23.9.2011.

(H.B.PRABHAKARA SASTRY)
REGISTRAR

hj