

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 285 OF 2010

HITESH GUPTA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

S.O.MAHILA THANA, JHANSI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date: 30/07/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Vivek Vishnoi, Adv.
Mr. Yash Pal Dhingra, Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The learned Advocate, Mr. Vivek Vishnoi appearing on behalf of Mr. Yash Pal Dhingra, Advocate-on-Record states that they are not appearing for respondent No.2. They have to make proper disclosure on record regarding vakalatnama.

It is unfortunate that vakalatnama filed by Mr. Yash Pal Dhingra, Advocate-on-Record on 2.7.2010 is not clear that for which respondent they are appearing. Therefore, Registry has intimated them to clarify the proof of respondent for whom they are appearing by letter dated 6.7.2010.

Respondent No.1 is yet unserved.
Item No.86 -2-

Fresh dasti service to the unserved respondents is allowed, permitted to be served through nearest civil court or trial court.

Served respondents may file counter affidavit.

List again on 16.9.2010.

(S.G. SHAH)
Registrar

rd