

SECTION XVII

MATTER FOR :
COURT NO.
ITEM NO.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL No. 10448 and 10499 OF 2014

with

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1376-1385 OF 2013

with

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11331, 7860-61, 11333, 11335, 11336, 11337, 11339 and 11340
OF 2013**

Transmission corporation of Andhra Pradesh Ltd & Ors.

...Appellants

VERSUS

M/S SLS Power Ltd. & Ors.

.....Respondents

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Ld. Registrar Court on 27.10.2015 when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:

C.A. No. 10448/2014 and 10499/2014

Service report in respect of the unserved respondents is awaited in both the appeals.

C.A. No. 1376-1385/2013

Respondent Nos.4 to 6 in CA No.1376/2013, respondent Nos.7 to 9, 16, 19, 20, 23 and 30 in CA No.1377/2013, respondent Nos.1, 4 and 10 in CA No.1378/2013, respondent No.4 in CA No.1379/2013, respondent Nos.11 to 13 in CA No.1380/2013, respondent No. 6 in CA No.1381/2013, respondent Nos.1 to 10, and 13 to 15 in CA No.1382/2013, respondent Nos.1, 4 to 6 in CA No.1383/2013, respondent Nos.1, 4, 5 and 6 in CA No.1384/2013 and respondent Nos.1 to 3, 6 and 7 in CA No.1385/2013 are yet to be served. Appellant to take appropriate steps to effect service within three weeks. Notice thereafter be issued.

C.A. No. 11331/2013

Service of respondent Nos.1, 2, 6, 8, 9, 14 and 16 is complete but none has entered appearance. Respondent No.3 has already filed the counter affidavit. Remaining all the respondents are yet to be served. Appellant to take fresh steps to effect service within three weeks. Notice thereafter be issued.

C.A. No. 7860-7861/2013

Appellant to take fresh steps to effect service on all the unserved respondents in both the appeals within three weeks. Notice thereafter be issued. Registry to report the status of the pleadings on behalf of the served respondents.

C.A. No. 11335/2013

Respondent Nos.1, 5 and 6 have failed to appear, despite being duly served. Remaining respondents are yet to be served. The Ld. Counsel for the appellant to take fresh steps to effect service. Notice thereafter be issued.

C.A. No.11336/2013

Respondent Nos.1, 6 and 7 have failed to appear, despite being duly served. Remaining respondents are yet to be served. The Ld. Counsel for the appellant to take fresh steps to effect service. Notice thereafter be issued.

C.A. No. 11333/2013

Respondent Nos.1, 4 and 7 has already failed to appear, despite being duly served. Respondent Nos.3, 5 and 6 are yet to be served. The Ld. Counsel for the appellant to take fresh steps to effect service. Notice thereafter be issued.

C.A. No. 11337,11339 and 11340/2013

The unserved respondent Nos.1, 7 and 8 are requested to be served through the standing counsel concerned. Notice be accordingly issued.

Remaining all respondents in three of these appeals have failed to appear, despite being served. List again on 20.1.2016.

CIVIL APPEAL No. 10448 of 2014

It is submitted that there are 69 respondents in the Appeal. Mr. Pramod Dayal and Mr. Y. Raja Gopala Rao, Advocates have entered appearance on behalf of respondent nos. 37 to 44 and 30,31,33,34,35,45 but have not filed counter affidavit. Duly signed A.D. card have been received for respondent nos. 1,2,4,6,7,10,12,13,17,20,21,22,24,28,29, 46,47 to 49,51 to 58,60 to 63, 65,66,67 and 69 but no one has entered appearance on behalf of them. Fresh notices were issued to the unserved respondents nos. On 14.10.2015 through registered A.D.

It is further submitted that Respondent nos. 3,5,8,9,11,14,15,16,18,19,23,25,26,27 and 50 are common with the appellant in Connected matter 7860 and 7861 of 2013 and are represented by M/S MCLM & Co., Advocates. Respondent no. 32 and 36 are common with the appellant in Civil Appeal nos. 11340 and 11336 of 2013 respectively and are represented by Mr. Y.RajaGopala Rao and M/S MCLM & Co., Advocates respectively. Neither A.D. Card nor unserved cover have been received back in respect of respondent nos. 59, 64 and 68.

CIVIL APPEAL No. 10499 of 2014

It is submitted that there are 49 respondents. Duly signed A.D. cards have been received back in respect of respondent nos. 1,2,4,6,9,10,11,13 to 17,20,22, 24,26 to 28, 30 to 32, 34 to 37, 41 to 43,45 to 47 and 49 but no one has entered appearance on their behalf. Fresh notices were issued to the unserved respondents nos. on 14.10.2015 through registered A.D.

It is submitted that Respondent nos. 3,5,7,8,12,18,19,21,23 and 25 are common with the appellant in connected matter 7860 and 7861 of 2013 and are represented by M/S MCLM & Co., Advocates. Respondent nos. 38 to 40 are common with the appellant in Civil Appeal nos. 11340 of 2013 respectively and are represented by Mr. Y.RajaGopala Rao, Advocate respectively. Respondent no. 29 and 44 are common with the respondents in Civil Appeal Nos. 7861 and 1381 of 2013 which are represented by Mr. Ejaz Maqbool and Mr. Y.Rajagopala Rao, Advocates respectively. Neither A.D. Card nor unserved cover have been received back in respect of respondent nos. 33 and 48.

SERVICE POSITION OF CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 1376-1385 OF 2013

Civil Appeal No.	Total Respondents	Service Position	Remarks
1376/2013	6	<p>R.Nos.1, 2 and 3 are represented through Mr. Liz Mathew, K.V. Mohan and D.Bharthi Reddy, Advocates</p> <p>Service on R.Nos. 4 to 6 is incomplete. (Proforma Respondents)</p>	<p>Respondent No.6 is common respondent in C.A.No.7860/2013 and is represented through Mr. G.N.Reddy, Advocate.</p>
1377/2013	30	<p>R.Nos.1 to 8, 10 to 15, 17, 18, 21, 22, 24 to 27,28 and 29 are represented through M/s.Mclm & co., K.V. Mohan and D. Bharthi Reddy, Advocates.</p> <p>Service on R.Nos.9,16,19,20, 23 is incomplete.</p> <p>Service on R.No. 30 is incomplete.</p>	<p>Appellants in C.A. No. 7860/2013 and are represented through MCLM & Co., Advocates.</p> <p>Common Respondent in C.A.No.7860/2013 and is represented through Mr. G.N.Reddy, Advocate.</p>
1378/2013	10	<p>R.Nos. 2,3,5,6,7,8 and 9 are represented through Rajagopala Rao and K.V. Mohan & D.Bharathi Reddy, Advocates respectively.</p> <p>Service on R.Nos. 1, 4 and 10 is incomplete. (R.No. 10 is proforma Respondent).</p>	<p>R.Nos. 1 and 4 are common appellants in C.A. No. 11340/2013 and represented through Mr. Rajagopala Rao, Advocate.</p> <p>R.No. 10 is common respondent in C.A. Nos. 7860-7861/2013 and represented through Mr. G.N. Reddy, Advocate.</p>

1379/2013	4	<p>R.Nos. 1,2 and 3 are represented through M/s MCLM & Co., K.V. Mohan and D.Bharathi Reddy, Advocates respectively.</p> <p>Service on R.No. 4 is incomplete. (Proforma Respdent)</p>	<p>Common respondent in CA Nos. 7860-7861 of 2013 and represented through Mr. G.N. Reddy, Advocate.</p>
1380/2013	13	<p>R.Nos.1 to 8, 9 and 10 are represented through Mr. Pramod Dayal, K.V. Mohan and D.Bharathi Reddy, Advocates.</p> <p>Service on R.Nos.11, 12 and 13 is Incomplete.</p>	<p>R.No. 13 is common respondent in C.A. Nos. 7860-7861/2013 and represented through Mr. G.N. Reddy, Advocate.</p> <p>R.Nos. 11 and 12 are Proforma Respondents.</p>
1381/2013	6	<p>R.Nos.1 to 3, 4 and 5 are represented through Mr. Rajagopala Rao, K.V. Mohan and D.Bharathi Reddy, Advocates.</p> <p>Service on R.No. 6 is incomplete.</p>	<p>Common respondent in CA Nos. 7860-7861/2013 and represented through Mr. G.N. Reddy, Advocate.</p>
1382/2015	15	<p>R.Nos. 11 and 12 represented through K.V. Mohan and D.Bharathi Reddy, Advocates.</p> <p>Service on R.No. 1 is incomplete.</p> <p>Service on R.Nos. 2 and 6 is incomplete.</p>	<p>Common respondents in CA. Nos. 1377/2013 and are represented through M/s MCLM & Co., Advocates.</p>

		<p>Service no R.No. 4 is incomplete.</p> <p>Service on R.Nos. 3,7,10,13,14 and 15 is incomplete.</p> <p>Service on R.No. 5 is incomplete.</p> <p>Service on R.Nos. 8 and 9 is incomplete.</p>	<p>Common respondent in CA No. 7861/2013 and represented through Mr. Ejaz Maqbool, Advocate.</p> <p>R.Nos. 13 and 14 are Proforma Respondents.</p> <p>R.No. 15 is common respondent in CA Nos. 7860-7861 of 2013 and represented through Mr. G.N. Reddy, Advocate.</p> <p>Common respondent in CA No. 7861/2013 and represented through Mr. Pramod Dayal, Advocate in.</p> <p>Appellants in CA Nos. 11340/2013 and represented through Mr. Y.Raja Gopala Rao, Advocate.</p>
1383/15	6	<p>Service on R.No. 1 is incomplete.</p> <p>R.Nos. 2 and 3 are represented through K.V. Mohan and D.Bharathi Reddy, Advocates respectively.</p> <p>Service on R.No. 4 is incomplete.</p> <p>Service on R.Nos. 5 and 6 is incomplete.</p>	<p>Common respondent in CA No. 7860/2013 and is represented through Mr. G.N. Reddy, Advocate.</p> <p>Proforma Respondents</p>

1384/15	6	<p>Service on R.No. 1 is incomplete.</p> <p>R.Nos. 2 and 3 are represented through K.V. Mohan and D.Bharathi Reddy, Advocates respectively.</p> <p>Service on R.No. 4 is incomplete.</p> <p>Service on R.Nos. 5 and 6 is incomplete.</p>	<p>Common respondent in CA No. 7860/2013 and is represented through Mr.G.N. Reddy, Advocate.</p> <p>Proforma Respondents</p>
1385/15	7	<p>Service on R.Nos. 1,2,3 and 7 is incomplete.</p> <p>R.Nos.4 and 5 are represented through K.V. Mohan and D.Bharathi Reddy, Advocates respectively.</p> <p>Service on R.No. 6 is incomplete.</p>	<p>R.No. 7 is Proforma Respondent.</p> <p>Common respondent in CA No. 7860/2013 and is represented through G.N. Reddy, Advocate.</p>

C.A No. 11331/13

There are seven respondents in the above-mentioned matter. Mr. A. Subba Rao, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of Respondent nos. 2-6 and has also filed counter affidavit. A.D. Cards duly signed have been received back in respect of respondent nos. 1,8,9,11,13,14,15, 16 and 17 but no one has entered appearance .Neither A.D. cards nor unserved covers have been received back from Respondent Nos. 4,5,7. Respondent nos. 10 and 12 are common with respondents nos. 1 and 3 in Appeal no. 1380 of 2013 and is represented by Mr. Pramod Dayal. Service is incomplete in respect of respondent no 7.

C.A No. 7860-61 of 2013

There are 7 respondents in Civil Appeal no. 7860 of 2013 and 17 respondents in 7861 of 2013. The service position in the matters is as under:

Civil Appeal No.	Total Respondents	Service Position	Remarks
7860/2013	7	<p>Service on R.Nos.1 - 6 is incomplete.</p> <p>A.D. cards in response of R.Nos. 1,5 and 6 received but no one has entered appearance.</p> <p>R.Nos. 2 and 7 are represented through Mr. A. Subba Rao and G.N. Reddy, Advocates respectively.</p>	<p>R.No. 1 is Common respondent in CA. No. 1376/2013 and is represented through Mr. K.V. Mohan, Advocate,</p> <p>R.Nos. 2 to 6 are appellants in main matter and are represented through Mr. A.Subbarao, Advocate.</p>
7861/2013	17	<p>Service on R.Nos. 1 to 3 is incomplete.</p> <p>R.Nos. 7 and 8 are represented through Mr. G.N. Reddy and Mr. Ezaj Maqbool, Advocates respectively.</p> <p>Service on R.Nos. 4, 5,6,15 and 17 is complete through A.D. Cards.</p> <p>R.Nos. 9 and 14 are represented through Mr. Pramod Dayal and K.V. Mohan, Advocates respectively.</p> <p>Service on R.Nos. 11 and 12 is incomplete.</p> <p>Service on R.Nos, 10,13 and 16 is incomplete.</p>	<p>Appellants in CA No. 1377/2013 and are represented through Mr. A.Subbarao, Advocate.</p> <p>Appellants in CA No. 11340/2013 and represented through Mr. Y.Rajagopala Rao, Advocates</p>

It is submitted that Counsel for the represented respondents have not filed counter affidavit so far in Civil Appeal Nos. 7860 and 7861 of 2013.

C.A No. 11335/13

There are seven respondents in the above-mentioned appeal . Mr. A. Subba Rao, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of Respondent no. 2. Duly signed A.D. Cards have been received back in respect of respondent nos. 1, 5 & 6 but no one has entered appearance on their behalf. Service is incomplete in respect of respondent no. 3,4 & 7. Respondent nos. 3 and 4 are common with the appellant in Civil Appeal 1376-85 of 2013 and is represented by Mr. A. Subba Rao, Advocate. Respondent no. 7 is common respondent in Appeal no. 7860 of 2013 and is represented by Mr. G.N. Reddy, Advocate.

It is submitted that Counsel for the appellants have not filed spare copies of the Petition. Hence, fresh notice could not be issued.

C.A No. 11336/13

There are seven respondents in the above-mentioned appeal . Mr. A. Subba Rao, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of Respondent no. 2. Duly signed A.D. Cards have been received back in respect of respondent nos. 1, 6 & 7 but no one has entered appearance on their behalf. Service is incomplete in respect of respondent no. 3,4 & 5. Respondent nos. 3, 4 & 5 are common with the appellant in Civil Appeal 1376-85 of 2013 and is represented by Mr. A. Subba Rao, Advocate.

It is submitted that Counsel for the appellants have not filed spare copies of the Petition. Hence, fresh notice could not be issued.

C.A No. 11333/13

There are seven respondents in the above-mentioned appeal . Mr. A. Subba Rao, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of Respondent no. 2. Duly signed A.D. Cards have been received back in respect of respondent nos. 1, 4 & 7 but no one has entered appearance on their behalf. Service is incomplete in respect of respondent no. 3, 5 & 6. Respondent nos. 3,5 & 6 are common with the appellant in Civil Appeal 1376-85 of 2013 and is represented by Mr. A. Subba Rao, Advocate.

It is submitted that Counsel for the appellants have not filed spare copies of the Petition. Hence, fresh notice could not be issued.

C.A Nos. 11337 of 2013

There are eight respondents in the above-mentioned appeal. Mr. A.Subba Rao, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of respondent nos. 2 to 6 . Service is incomplete in respect of respondent nos. 1, 7 & 8. Respondent no. 7 is common respondent in Appeal no. 7860 of 2013 and is represented by Mr. G.N. Reddy, Advocate. Respondent no. 8 is common respondent in Appeal no. 1378 of 2013 and is represented by Mr. Y. Rajagopala Rao. Respondent no. 1 is common respondent in Appeal no. 1376 of 2013 and is represented by Mr. K.V. Mohan, Advocate.

It is submitted that Counsel for the appellants have not filed spare copies of the Petition. Hence, fresh notice could not be issued.

C.A Nos. 11339 of 2013

There are eight respondents in the above-mentioned appeal. Mr. A.Subba Rao, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of respondent nos. 2 to 6 . Service is incomplete in respect of respondent nos. 1 ,7 & 8. Respondent no. 7 is common respondent in Appeal no. 7860 of 2013 and is represented by Mr. G.N. Reddy, Advocate. Respondent no. 8 is common respondent in Appeal no. 1381 of 2013 and is represented by Mr. Y. Rajagopala Rao. Respondent no. 1 is common respondent in Appeal no. 1376 of 2013 and is represented by Mr. K.V. Mohan, Advocate.

It is submitted that Counsel for the appellants have not filed spare copies of the Petition. Hence, fresh notice could not be issued.

C.A Nos. 11340 of 2013

There are seven respondents in the above-mentioned appeal. Mr. A.Subba Rao, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of respondent nos. 2 to 6 . Service is incomplete in respect of respondent nos. 1 & 7. Respondent no. 7 is common respondent in Appeal no. 7860 of 2013 and is represented by Mr. G.N. Reddy, Advocate. Respondent no. 1 is common in Appeal no. 1376 of 2013 and is represented by Mr. K.V. Mohan, Advocate.

It is submitted that Counsel for the appellants have not filed spare copies of the Petition. Hence, fresh notice could not be issued.

The matters above-mentioned are listed before the Ld. Registrar Court with this Office Report.

DATED THIS THE 19TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2016

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :Mr. A. Subba Rao, Advocate
M/s MCLM & Co., Advocate
Mr. Y. Raja Gopala Rao, Advocate
Mr. Pramod Dayal, Advocate
Mr. Ejaz Maqool, Advocate
Mr. G.N. Reddy, Advocate
Mrs. D.Bharathi Reddy, Advocate
Mr. K.V. Mohan, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR