

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A. 13-15/2014 in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)
No(s). 17871-17873/1998

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 05/06/1998
in AP No. 138/1996, 139/1996 and 140/1996 passed by the High Court
Of Calcutta)

U.O.I. & ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MANORANJUAN MONDAL

Respondent(s)

(for substitution of arbitrator)

Date : 25/08/2014 These applications were called on for hearing
today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAMAJIT SEN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. L.N. Rao, ASG
Ms. Swati Chandra, Adv.
Mr. Syed Tanwar Ahmad, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri ,Adv.
Mr. S.L. Terdal,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Deba Prasad Mukherjee ,Adv.

Mr. Abijit Chatterjee, Adv.
Mr. Kartik Mondal, Adv.
Mrs Sarla Chandra ,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the applicant-respondent states
that he has instructions, keeping in view the protracted
arbitral proceedings, to forgo his claim of approx.
Rs.2,60,00,000/- (Rupees two crores and sixty lakhs)
provided the Railways reciprocate in so far as their

claim of Rs. 60,00,000/- (Rupees sixty lakhs) is concerned. It also appears that it is the applicant-respondent who had raised their claim for the first time, and the Railways thereafter preferred their own claim. This is a matter which ought to be settled in view of the statement of the counsel for the applicant.

Counsel for the Railways to take instructions within two weeks.

(NEELAM GULATI)
COURT MASTER

(TAPAN KUMAR CHAKRABORTY)
COURT MASTER