

j
SLP(C)No. 16014 OF 1999
.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R
ITEM No.203 Court No. 1 SECTION XIA
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.16014/1999
(From the judgement and order dated 24/06/1999 in AS 197/88
of The HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM)

M/S.E.C.E. INDUSTRIES LTD. Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

K.S.E.B. & ANR Respondent (s)
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)
With
SLP(C)No.16063/1999,
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)
SLP(C)No.3813/2000
(With appln. for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)
(For Final Disposal)

Date : 04/04/2001 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.C. LAHOTI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRIJESH KUMAR

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Sudhir Kulshreshtha, adv.
in SLP(C) 16014, 16063/99
and respondent in SLP(C) 3813/2000

For Respondent (s) Mr. G Viswanatha Iyer, Sr. adv.
in SLP(C) 16014, 16063/99 Ms. Malini Poduval, adv.
and Petitioner in Ms. Lan Singlu Rongmei, adv.
SLP(C) 3813/2000

For Respondent (s) Mr. B Deva Sekhar, adv. for
in SLP(C) 16014/99 & 3813/00 Ms. Radha Rangaswamy, adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Delay condoned in SLP(C) No. 3813/2000.
After hearing learned counsel for the parties
at length and perusing the record, in the established
facts and circumstances of the case, we do not find it
a fit case to interfere in exercise of our
jurisdiction under Article 136 of the Constitution.
The special leave petitions are, accordingly,
dismissed.

.SP1
(Kanchan Jain) (Prem Prakash)
AR-cum-PS Court Master