

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).21303-21304/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 07/01/2010 & 28/1/2010 in
No. 9/2010 of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

CMM

SANJAY AGNIHOTRI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PUJA KAKAR & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln. for impleadment as party respondent and prayer for
interim relief and office report)

Date: 21/02/2011 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. SATHASIVAM
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Chetan Sharma, Sr.Adv.
Mr.Kamal Nijhawan, Adv.
Mr. Jitender Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Sumit Gaur, Adv.
Mr. Ashwani Kumar,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ram Swarup Sharma,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard both sides.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner-Advocate that his conduct was not such, which may warrant observations/directions as made by the High Court. More so, such observations/directions could not have been recorded without giving an opportunity to the petitioner to explain the facts.

In view of the fact that the petitioner, an advocate has expressed regret and assured that he would not give an opportunity to anybody to raise any complaint so far as the professional duties are concerned, it is clarified that the adverse remarks,

:2:

even if any, shall not be construed as passed against the counsel. To this extent, the impugned order is clarified. In addition to the above, the direction of the High Court in the order dated 7th January, 2010, for registering a complaint against the advocate insofar as the issue in question before the Bar Council of India is deleted.

The special leave petitions are disposed of.

In view of the above order, the application for impleadment is rejected.

[Usha Bhardwaj]
Court Master

[Savita Sainani]
Court Master