

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6718 OF 2004

M.C.D. & ANR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

M/S. MEHRASONS JEWELLERS (P) LTD.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions and office report)

WITH

Civil Appeal NO. 8340 of 2011

(With appln. for permission to file counter affiavit)

SLP(C) NO. 32342 of 2011

(With appln. for c/delay in filing SLP and refiling SLP and with office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 8341 of 2011

(With office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 8342 of 2011

(With office report)

Date: 11/10/2012 These Appeals/SLP were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.K. JAIN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR

For Appellant(s) Mr. Sanjiv Sen, Adv.
In C.A. No. 6718/2004 Mr. Anirudh Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Rajan Narain, Adv.

In SLP(C) 32342/11 Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.

In C.A. Nos.8340,
8341,8322/11 Mr. Praveen Swarup, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Kirti Uppal, Sr. Adv.
In CA 6718/2004 Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, Adv.
Mr. Narendra Kumar, Adv.

For rr. 1 In Mr. Arun K. Sinha, Adv.
SLP(C) 32342/2011 Mr. Rakesh Singh, Adv.

In C.A. Nos. 8340 & Mr. S.K. Gupta, Adv.
8341/2011 Mr. Balbir Singh Gupta, Adv.

For rr. 1 In C.A. Mr. Bharat Bhushan Jain, Adv.
8342/2011 Mr. Abhay Kumar, Adv.
 Mr. Upendra Pratap Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R
C.A. No. 6718/2004

From the impugned order, we find that while disposing of Writ Petition (1769 of 2001), which has given rise to the present appeal, the learned Single Judge has relied upon earlier decisions of the High Court in Lt. Colonel P.R. Chaudhary (Retd.) Etc. Vs. MCD & Ors. (2000) DLJ 223 and M.C.D. Vs. Dhunishaw Framroz Daruwala, 100 (2002) DLT 679. Learned counsel appearing for the Corporation is not in a position to apprise the Court about the fact whether the decisions of the High Court in the afore-mentioned cases were challenged further or not. He prays for some time to seek instructions in that behalf. It may also be noted that the present appeal arises from an order passed by the learned Single Judge in a Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution. Learned counsel shall also have instructions as to whether Letters Patent Appeal against the said decision was preferred or not.

List on 16th October, 2012.

| [Charanjeet Kaur] | | [Kusum Gulati] |
|Court Master | |Court Master |