

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
REVIEW PETITION(CRL) NO. 29 OF 2013
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1033 OF 2005

SUBRAMANI @ JEEVA @ KULAJEEVA ...PETITIONER(S)
Vs.
S.H.O., ODIYANSALAI ...RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

There is an inordinate delay of 367 days in filing the review petition.

An application for condoning the delay has been filed.

We have perused the same and find that no cause, much less sufficient cause, has been shown for condoning the delay in filing the review petition.

However, a good cause may not be lost on the ground of limitation, we have perused the record and find no infirmity in the impugned judgment much less an apparent error on face of the record, so as to call for interference in this review petition.

The review petition is, accordingly, dismissed both on the ground of limitation as also on merit.

.....J.
(CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD)

.....J.
(JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR)

NEW DELHI
MARCH 6, 2013

CHAMBER MATTER

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 29 OF 2013 IN APPEAL(CRL) 1033/2005

SUBRAMANI @ JEEVA @ KULAJEEVA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

S.H.O.ODIYANSALAI Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition and office report)

Date: 06/03/2013 This Petition was circulated today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

The review petition is dismissed both on the ground of
limitation as also on merit.

| (S.K. Rakheja)
| Court Master

| | (Indu Satija)
| | Court Master

|

(Signed order is placed on the file)