

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 3088/2000

(From the judgement and order dated 09/08/2000 in MCRLC 4433/2000
of The HIGH COURT OF M.P AT JABALPUR)

GAUTAM JAIN

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF M.P.

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for bail)
(With Office Report)

Date : 06/11/2000 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.T. THOMAS
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. SETHI

For Petitioner (s) Mr. R.P.Gupta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Parmanand Gaur, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Ms. Kamakshi S.Mehlwal, adv.
Mr. Uma Nath Singh, adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.J
.SP2

Leave granted.
Appeal is disposed of.

.SP1
(Suman Wadhwa) (H.K.Bhatia)
PA to Addl.Regr. Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.

.PA
.PL55

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 937 OF 2000 @@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
(Arising out of SLP(Crl.)No.3088/2000)

Gautam Jain

...Appellant

Vs.

State of M.P.

...Respondent

ORDER@@
CCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.J
.SP2

Leave granted.

As the appellant was granted interim bail we do not find any reason to put him back in jail because the offence alleged against him is only under Sec.306 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code. He was arrested on 26.4.2000 and there is no need to keep him in jail as an undertrial prisoner for any further. We, therefore, make our order dated 22.9.2000 absolute.

This appeal is disposed of accordingly.

.SP1

.....J.
(K.T. Thomas)

New Delhi;
November 6, 2000.

.....J.
(R.P.Sethi)