

\2243

ITEM NO.6

COURT NO.5

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).31279/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 29/07/2010 in WP No.1595/2009 of The HIGH COURT OF ALLAHABAD, BENCH AT LUCKNOW)

STATE OF U.P.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DAYANAND CHAKRAWARTY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T., permission to file additional documents and office report)(for final disposal)

WITH

SLP(C) NO. 35579 of 2010

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and permission to file additional documents and office report)(for final disposal)

SLP(C) NO. 5218-5260 of 2011

(With appln(s) for intervention and office report)

(for final disposal)

SLP(C) NO. 14880 of 2011

(With appln(s) for direction/modification and exemption from filing OT and office report)(for final disposal)

SLP(C) NO. 19119 of 2011

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

(for final disposal)

SLP(C) NO. 16519 of 2011

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

(for final disposal)

SLP(C) NO. 26336 of 2011

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 22838 of 2011

(With office report)(for final disposal)

SLP(C) NO. 22839 of 2011

(With office report)(for final disposal)

SLP(C) NO. 22840 of 2011

(With office report)(for final disposal)

Date: 24/07/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

For Petitioner(s)
(for State of UP)

Mr. Pramod Swarup, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Tanmaya Agarwal, Adv.

For Petitioner(s)
(U.P.Jal Nigam)

Ms. Prachi Bajpai, Adv.

For Petitioner(s)
in WP 370/2011

Ms. Shomila Bakshi, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. K.C. Kaushik, Adv.

In SLP 22838/22 Mr. Shivram, Adv.
(for RR No.1)

Ms. Prachi Bajpai ,Adv

Mrs Rachna Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Upendra Nath Misra, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Majithia, Adv.

Mr. Jitendra Mohan Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Majithia, Adv.

Mr. Kumar Parimal, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The delay of 13 days and 170 days respectively in filing
Special Leave Petition (C) No. 35579 of 2010 and Special Leave
Petition (C) No.19119 of 2011 is condoned.

The application for intervention in Special Leave
Petitions(C) Nos. 5218-5260 of 2011 is allowed.

Arguments heard.

Leave granted.

Judgment reserved.

(Parveen Kr.Chawla)	(Phoolan Wati Arora)	
Court Master	Court Master	

ITEM NO.6/10

COURT NO.5

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

WRIT PETITION(C) NO.370 OF 2011

DHAN SINGH VERMA

..Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. AND OTHERS

..Respondents

(with appln(s) for interim directions and office report)

Date: 24/07/2012

This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Shomila Bakshi, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

In this petition filed under Article 32 of the Constitution, the petitioner has made the following substantive prayers:

"(a) Issue a writ, order or direction or writ in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondent nos. 1 to 3 to consider and take decision forthwith in the matter regarding enhancement of retirement age from 58 years to 60 years in the case of class I and II employees of Respondent No.5 Corporation as recommended by the Governing Body and sent by the Managing Director on 06th August, 2010 as well as the recommendation sent by the Principal Secretary, Bureau of Public Enterprises on 24th June, 2011 and the Chairman of Bureau of Public Enterprises on 06th January, 2011 (as contained in Annexure Nos. 6,7 and 8 to this writ petition) issued in pursuance of the Government Order dated 16th October, 2009 and the respondent be directed to treat the petitioners in service up to the age of 60 years and be paid salary and other benefits;

(b) Issue a writ, order or direction or writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the order of retirement notice dated 25th February, 2011, passed by the Managing Director of the Corporation, as contained in Annexure No. P13 to this writ petition;

(c) Issue a writ, order or direction or writ in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to extend the same benefit of engaging on contract basis after attaining the age of superannuation as has been adopted in case of Sri Surendra, Managing Director of the Corporation."

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that her client may be permitted to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to file an appropriate petition in the jurisdictional High Court.

The request of the learned counsel is accepted and the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty in terms of the prayer made.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master

