

ITEM NO.22

COURT NO.9

SECTION XII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

PETITION(S) FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (C) NO(S). 12750/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 06-01-2026 in WA No. 1446/2025 passed by the High Court for The State of Telangana at Hyderabad]

INDIAN OIL CORPORATION LIMITED & ANR.

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

NADELLA PURNA CHANDRA RAO & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

(IA No. 106029/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 17-04-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ MITHAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

For Petitioner(s) Mrs. Madhavi Goradia Divan, Sr. Adv.
Mrs. Priya Puri, AOR
Mr. Sachin Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Sharad Kumar Puri, Adv.
Ms. Riya Dogra, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. D. Abhinav Rao, AOR
Mr. Aniket Singh, Adv.
Ms. Megha Shaw, Adv.
Mr. Abhisek Das, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the court made the following
O R D E R

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. In the facts and circumstances of the case, as the lease has already expired and renewal has been refused,

we do not intend to interfere with the order impugned passed by the High Court.

3. Accordingly, the Special Leave Petition is dismissed. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

4. However, in the facts and circumstances as the petitioner was running a retail outlet from the premises in dispute, we allow three months' further time to vacate the premises and hand over its peaceful possession to the respondents-landlords subject to filing of an undertaking on affidavit to the above effect before the trial Court within a period of two weeks from today.

5. It goes without saying that the petitioners would continue to pay the usual rent as compensation for use and occupation of the premises, till the possession is handed over.

(Nidhi Mathur)
Court Master (NSH)

(Geeta Ahuja)
Deputy Registrar