

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 1636/2010

TATA MOTORS LTD.FORMERLY KNOWN AS M/S TATA
ENGINEERING AND LOCOMOTIVE COMPANY LTD.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF JHARKHAND(FORMERLY KNOWN AS THE
STATE OF BIHAR) & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(PART-HEARD BY : HON. ABHAY MANOHAR SAPRE AND HON. DINESH
MAHESHWARI, JJ.)

Date : 14-03-2019 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY MANOHAR SAPRE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MAHESHWARI

or Appellant(s)

Mr. Gopal Jain,Sr.Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Bhatnagar,Adv.
Ms. Nandini Gore,Adv.
Ms. Natasha Sahrawat,Adv.
Mr. Mandeep Kalra,Adv.
Mr. Jasvir Singh Sabarwal,Adv.
Mrs. Manik Karanjawala, AOR
Ms. Neha Khandelwal,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Gopal Prasad, AOR
Mr. Jayesh Gaurav,Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

After having heard learned senior counsel for the appellant and the learned counsel for the respondent at length and having regard to the issue involved in the matter and particularly the question with regard to the taxing power of the State emanating from the parent Act, namely, Motor Vehicles Act and the Rules framed thereunder, we consider it proper that Union of India is also arrayed

as party-respondent in this appeal. As a matter of fact the Union of India was proper/necessary party right from the inception and should have been made party in the High Court itself.

Be that as it may before we finally hear this appeal, the appellant is directed to implead Union of India as a party respondent to enable this Court to hear Union of India along with the State.

On the oral request made by the learned senior counsel for the appellant, Union of India is added as party respondent.

Let notice be issued to Union of India returnable in five weeks.

Dasti service in addition is permitted.

(ANITA MALHOTRA)
COURT MASTER

(CHANDER BALA)
COURT MASTER