



Mr. Purushottam S.T.,Adv.  
Mr. Utsav Sidhu,Adv.  
Ms. Filza Moonis,Adv.  
Ms. Apeksha Sharan,Adv.

(In SLP(C)12268/09 & 13225/2009 Mr. V. Giri,Sr.Adv.  
Mr. C. Unnikrishnan,Adv.  
Mohammed Sadique T.A.,Adv.  
Mr. K.V.Mohan,Adv.  
Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker,Adv.

Mr. Romy Chacko,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. P.S. Patwalia,Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Romy Chacko,Adv.  
Mr. Anup Kumar,Adv.  
Mr. Mohan Jacob,Adv.

(In SLP(C)11666-67/09)Mr. C.N. Sreekumar,Adv.  
Mr. T.G. Narayanan Nair,Adv.  
Mr. K.N. Madhusoodanan,Adv.  
Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker ,Adv

Mr. Ashok Kumar,Adv.

Mr. G. Prakash,Adv.

(For CBSE) Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma,Adv.  
Ms. Neelam Sharma,Adv.

(In SLP(C)25305-19/09)Mr. P.I. Jose,Adv.  
Mr. Hari Kumar V.Adv.  
Mr. Anupam Mishra,Adv.  
Mr. Vivek Kandari,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The matter was heard for some time. It  
3

is stated that during the pendency of these matters, the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009 has been enacted by Union of India and the State Government is in the process of finalising the Rules under the said Act. Further, the challenge to the validity of various provisions of Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009 is also pending consideration before this Court.

In the changed circumstances, the interim order granted on 15.5.2009 is modified as follows:

If any of the respondents (Schools) make an

application for recognition under Section 18 of the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009, the State Government shall consider the same with reference to the norms and standards for a school mentioned in the schedule to the said Act, and the Rules, if any, that are made under the said Act and dispose of the application within a period of two months from the date of such application.

It is made clear that the State Government may not postpone consideration of any such application on the ground that Right

4

of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Kerala Rules are not yet framed or notified.

Subject to any of the respondents schools receiving such recognition, the interim stay of the order of the High Court in so far as such school, shall stand vacated without any further order.

List for hearing after three months. The petitioner is directed to serve the unserved respondents in SLP(C)No.1101-1102/2010 and SLP(C)No.3992/2010 in the meanwhile.

(O.P. Sharma)  
Court Master

(M.S. Negi)  
Court Master