

ITEM NO.39

COURT NO.5

SECTION XII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 7366-7367/2010

(Arising out of impugned judgments and orders dated 26-02-2010 in WP No. 25910/2009, 26-02-2010 in WP No. 26083/2009, 26-02-2010 in WP No. 25910/2009 and 26-02-2010 in WP No. 26083/2009 passed by the High Court of A.P. at Hyderabad)

GOVT.OF A.P. & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S OBULAPURAM MINIG.CO.P.LTD. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 32690-32691/2010 (XII-A)

W.P.(Crl.) No. 66/2010 (X)

SLP(C) No. 17064-17065/2010 (XII-A)

S.L.P.(C)...CC No. 16830/2010 (XII-A)
IA No. 1/2010 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING)

S.L.P.(C)...CC No. 16829/2010 (XII-A)
IA No. 1/2010 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING)

W.P.(C) No. 411/2010 (X)
(FOR ON IA 1/2010, FOR ON IA 40715/2011, FOR
CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION ON IA 121370/2022
IA No. 121370/2022 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION)

W.P.(C) No. 76/2012 (X)

Date : 03-09-2024 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Basava Prabhu Patil, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, AOR
Mr. Karun Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Anupama Ngangom, Adv.
Ms. Rajkumari Divyasana, Adv.
Mr. R.rajaselvan, Adv.

Mr. T. V. Ratnam, AOR
Mr. Merusagar Samantaray, AOR

Dr. Sumant Bharadwaj, Adv.
Mr. Vedant Bharadwaj, Adv.
Ms. Mridula Ray Bharadwaj, AOR
Ms. Apoorva Chauhan, Adv.
Mr. D.m.sharma, Adv.
Mr. Tanay Hari Har Lal, Adv.
Ms. Surbhi Sharma, Adv.
M/S. Lawyer S Knit & Co, AOR

Mr. Mayank Jain, Adv.
Mr. Parmatma Singh, AOR
Mr. Madhur Jain, Adv.
Ms. Aakriti Dhawan, Adv.

Mr. Sidharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Guntur Pramod Kumar, AOR
Mr. Gautam Bhatia, Adv.
Ms. Perna Singh, Adv.
Mr. Dhruv Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Keshav Singh, Adv.

Mr. Shubhranshu Padhi, AOR

For Respondent(s) Ms. Bharti Tyagi, AOR

Mr. P. B. Suresh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mayank Jain, Adv.
Mr. Parmatma Singh, AOR
Mr. Madhur Jain, Adv.
Ms. Aakriti Dhawan, Adv.

Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, AOR
Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, AOR

Mr. K. Shivraj Choudhuri, AOR
Mr. G.v.r Choudary, Adv.
Ms. Kirti Goyal, Adv.

M/S. Lawyer S Knit & Co, AOR

Mr. Nishant Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, AOR

Mr. K. M. Nataraj, A.S.G.
Ms. Sonia Mathur, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Shailesh Madiyal, Adv.
Mr. Sharath Nambier, Adv.
Ms. Saudamini Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Pratyush Shrivastava, Adv.

Dr. N. Visakamurthy, AOR
Mrs. V. Mohna, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Nishant Patil, A.A.G.
Mr. Anup P Shah, Adv.
Mr. Vignesh Adithiya, Adv.
Mr. D. L. Chidananda, AOR

Mr. K. M. Nataraj, A.S.G.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR
Ms. Sonia Mathur, Adv.
Mr. Shailesh Madiyal, Adv.
Mr. Sharath Nambiar, Adv.
Ms. V Mohana, Adv.
Ms. Saudamini Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Pratyush Shrivastava, Adv.
Ms. Ranjana Narayan, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

Mr. T. V. S. Raghavendra Sreyas, AOR
Mr. Siddharth Vasudev, Adv.
Ms. Gayatri Gulati, Adv.
Ms. Sneha Dhillon, Adv.

Mr. K M Nataraj, A.S.G.
Ms. Sonia Mathur, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Shailesh Madiyal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR
Ms. V Mohana, Adv.
Mr. Sharath Nambiar, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Ranjan, Adv.
Mr. B K Satija, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Bhushan, AOR
Mr. Anurag Tiwary, Adv.

Mr. Ankur S. Kulkarni, Adv.
Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, Adv.
Mr. Susheel Joseph Cyriac, Adv.
Ms. Priya S. Bhalerao, Adv.
Mr. Varun Kanwal, Adv.
Ms. Gajallewar Divyansha Sandeep, Adv.
Mr. Debdeep Banerjee, Adv.
M/S. Lex Regis Law Offices, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Mr. Sidharth Luthra, learned senior counsel appearing for the State of Andhra Pradesh, prays for time to obtain instructions on the report given by the Amicus Curie. On the other hand, the learned counsel appearing for various iron ore mining companies would point out that since the mining areas were bordering the State of Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka, a survey was ordered for determination of the inter-state boundary. The Survey General had filed a report and this Court on 17.09.2018 had directed the Union of India to implement the report.

2. Ms. Sonia Mathur, learned senior counsel appears for the Union of India. She prays for time to obtain instruction in terms of the above directions.

3. The concerned counsel for the State of Andhra Pradesh and the Union of India should accordingly file their respective response(s) within four weeks.

(GEETA JOSHI)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(KAMLESH RAWAT)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR