

CrI.A.No. 1178 OF 1998
ITEM No.109

Court No.10

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CrI.A.No.1178/1998

State of M.P.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

Mangloo & Anr.
(With Office Report)

Respondent (s)

Date : 24/03/2004 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL
HON'BLE Dr. JUSTICE AR.LAKSHMANAN

For Appellant (s)
Mr.Siddhartha Dave,Adv.
Ms.Kamakshi S.Mehlwal, Adv.

For Respondent (s)
Mr.Umesh Balu Chaurasia,Adv.
Mr.Shiv Sagar Tiwari,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Sheetal Dhingra)(S.Krishnan)
Court Master Court Master
[The signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL No.1178 OF 1998

State of Madhya Pradesh ...Appellant (s)

Versus

Mangloo & Anr. ...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard the parties.

The respondents were convicted by the trial court under Section 302 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code (hereinafter referred to as 'the IPC') and sentenced to undergo imprisonment for life. On appeal being preferred, the High Court of Madhya Pradesh acquitted both the

respondents. Hence, this appeal by special leave
Having heard the parties and perused the impugned order, we are of the view that the order of
acquittal cannot be said to be perverse in any manner. As such it is not possible to interfere
with the same.

The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

.....J.
(B.N. AGRAWAL)

.....J.
(Dr. AR. LAKSHMANAN)
New Delhi
March 24, 2004.