

ITEM NO.43

COURT NO.5

SECTION IIB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).4259-4260/2013

(From the judgement and order
No.20970/2012,CRLM No.21120/2012 of
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

dated 30/04/2013
The HIGH COURT OF

in CRLM
PUNJAB &

JAGJIT SINGH ETC.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for anticipatory
filing O.T. and office report)

bail,impleadment,exemption

from

Date: 25/04/2014

These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Niharika Ahluwalia,Adv.
Mr. Sudhir Walia,Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Atrey,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Sanchar Anand,Adv.
Mr. Kuldip Singh,Adv.

Mr. Kawaljit Kochar,Adv.
Ms. Kusum Chaudhary,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard.

These special leave petitions arise out of two orders both dated 30th April, 2013 passed by the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh whereby Crl.Misc.No.M-21120 of 2012 and Crl.Misc. No.M-20970 of 2012 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, have been dismissed.

2

When the matter came up for hearing before us today we are told by learned counsel for respondent No.2-wife and learned counsel for the petitioners that the parties had entered into an amicable settlement according to which the petitioners have agreed to pay a sum of Rs.5 lakh to the respondent-wife in full and final settlement of all her

outstanding claims. It is also, according to learned counsel for both the parties, agreed by the parties that complaint (FIR No.119 registered at the Police Station Sultanwind, Dist. Amritsar City, Punjab) for offences punishable under Sections 406, 498A, 506 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code shall stand withdrawn by the complaint-wife on receipt of the amount, mentioned above.

Learned counsel for the respondent-wife submits that he has received in the Court today a demand draft for a sum of Rs.5 lakh in terms of the above settlement.

Learned

counsel for the petitioners argues that since the amount settled has already been paid, the petitioners may be given the liberty to seek appropriate redress by way of filing a proper petition before the High Court for quashing the on-going criminal proceedings.

We see no reason to decline

that prayer and accordingly grant liberty to the petitioners to file a proper petition before the High Court for quashing of FIR No.119 instituted against them on the complaint of the wife as aforementioned.

It is noteworthy

that learned counsel for the respondent-wife has made an unequivocal submission before us that in the event of any

3

such petition being filed before the High Court, the respondent-wife will not oppose quashing of the said proceedings.

In the circumstances, therefore nothing further survives for our consideration in these special leave petitions which are hereby disposed of on the above terms.

(Mahabir Singh)
Court Master

(Veena Khera)
Court Master