

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).18024-18030/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 20/01/2012 in SA No.524/2001,SA No.1069/2001,SA No.1068/2001,SA No.1071/2001,SA No.1072/2001,SA No.1073/2001 and SA No.1080/2001 of The HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL)

HARDEV LAL SHARMA ETC.ETC.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SRI PATESHWARI ELECT.&A.INDS.P.LTD.CO.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and permission to file additional documents and with prayer for interim relief)

WITH S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 12586-12587 of 2012

(For permission to file SLP and office report)

Date: 10/09/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr.Rakesh Dwivedi, Sr.Adv.  
Ms.Preetika Dwivedi, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Chaudhary, Adv.

In CC 12856-57/12 Mr. Anupam Lal Das, Adv.  
Mr.Atrabhavan Singh, Adv.  
Mr.Anirudh Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Kr.Kailash Vasdev, Sr.Adv.  
Mr.Vishwajit Singh, Adv.  
Mr.Abhindra Maheshwari, Adv.  
Mr.Pankaj Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The application for permission to file the special leave petitions is allowed.

Delay condoned.

Leave granted.

The application for substitution is allowed in terms of the prayer made.

The amended cause title be filed within two weeks.

The parties may file additional documents which were part of the record of the trial Court and the High Court.

During the pendency of the appeals, the parties shall maintain the status quo regarding the suit property as it is obtaining today. This would necessarily mean that neither party shall change the character of the property or alienate the same to any other person in any manner whatsoever.

It will be open to the respondent to file an application for directing the petitioners to pay damages in terms of the judgment of this Court in State of Maharashtra and another vs. Super Max International Private Limited and others (2009) 9 SCC 772.

(Satish K.Yadav)  
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)  
Court Master