

ITEM NO.35

Court 10 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION IV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 1227/2018 in SLP(C) No. 28698/2011

ANNAPPA LAXMAN KAKATKAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

FAKIRA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(Mediation Report received from Mediation Centre)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 28698/2011 (IV-A)

(With IA No. 76209/2020 - MODIFICATION OF COURT ORDER)

Date : 25-03-2022 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.M. JOSEPH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. S. N. Bhat, Sr. Adv.

Mr. N.P.S. Panwar, Adv.

Mr. D. P. Chaturvedi, Adv.

Mr. Tarun Kumar Thakur, Adv.

Ms. Parvati Bhat, Adv.

Ms. Anuradha Mutatkar, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Manjunath Meled, Adv.

Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ganesh Kumar R., AOR

Mr. Vaibhav Kumar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

By order dated 02.12.2021, the parties were

directed to appear before the Bangalore Mediation Centre attached to the High Court of Karnataka. After hearing learned counsel for the parties it is clear that the mediation as such has not worked out as according to the respondent, the petitioner did not appear. However, according to the petitioner, his representative had appeared.

According to respondent No. 5, if mediation is ordered to be conducted at Dharwad, respondent No. 5 can participate effectively. In such circumstances, we are of the view, in the facts, it would be appropriate, in the interest of justice, if an attempt is made for mediation to be conducted between the parties at the Mediation Centre at Dharwad Bench of the Karnataka High Court. Accordingly, the parties will appear before the Convenor of the Mediation Centre attached to the Dharwad Bench of the Karnataka High Court on 12.04.2022.

Registry will communicate a copy of this order to the Convenor of the Mediation Centre attached to the Dharwad Bench of the Karnataka High Court.

We further make it clear that since the counsel for respondent No. 8 would point out that respondent No. 8 may be permitted to appear through Video conferencing, though an effort will be made to go physically, we leave it

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 1227/2018 in SLP(C) No. 28698/2011

open to the Mediator to consider permitting respondent No. 8 to appear though video conferencing.

List the matter after receipt of the report of the Mediator.

**(NIDHI AHUJA)
AR-cum-PS**

**(RENU KAPOOR)
BRANCH OFFICER**