

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 670-671 OF 2000

ANIL KUMAR AGGARWAL

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS

Respondent(s)

Date: 04/05/2006 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Shashindra Tripathi, Adv.

Ms. Mridula Ray Bharadwaj, Adv.

Mr. V.K. Shukla, Adv.

Mr. Raj Singh Rana ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The appeal has become infructuous and is disposed of as infructuous
in terms
of the signed order.

(RAVI P. VERMA) (ANAND
SINGH)
Court Master Court
Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 670-671 OF 2000

ANIL KUMAR AGGARWAL APPELLA
NT

Versus

STATE OF U.P. & ORS. RESPONDE
NTS

O R D E R

During the pendency of this appeal, it is stated by Mr. Shash
indra

Tripathi, learned counsel for respondents 2 and 3, namely, Manoj Tiwari
and

Sharad Kumar, sons of Sri Banwari Lal Tiwari, Residents of 260, Kohra Peer P.S.,

Prem Nagar, Bareilly, U.P., respectively, that the trial has been concluded and the

respondents 2 and 3 have been convicted. The same is the statement of Mr. V.K.

Shukla, learned counsel appearing for the State of U.P. Mr. Rituraj Biswas, counsel appearing for the appellant has nothing to say in contra. In this view of the matter, the appeal has become infructuous and is disposed of as infructuous.

.....J.
(H.K. SEMA)

New Delhi;

.....J.

May 03, 2006.

(A.K. MATHUR)