

6#

C.A.No. 1949 OF 2000

.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....

ITEM NO. 103 COURT NO. 7 SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1949/2000

Birla Institute of Technology .. Appellant (s)
(D.U)

Vs.

Jaya Shree & Ors. .. Respondent(s)
(Prayer for interim relief)

DATE : 7.8.2001 : This/These matter (s) was/were
called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU

For Appellant (s) : Mr. Vikas Singh, Adv.
Mr. Prashant Chaudhary, Adv.

For Respondent (s) : Mrs. Leela Pujari, Adv.
Mr. V.B. Joshi, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J

.SP2

The appeal stands disposed of as having become
infructuous.

.SP1

(Meenu Sethi)
Court Master

(Om Prakash)
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file

.PA

.....L.....I.....J

.....L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....

.PL55

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.1949 OF 2000@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Birla Institute of technology .. Appellant
(Deemed University)

Vs.

O_R_D_E_R@@
AAAAAAAAA

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.SP2

The question involved in this case is one relating to admission of a student to the appellant institute. The contention put forth on behalf of the appellant is that there was some reservation in favour of the candidates who are children of the members of the staff of the institute and inasmuch as the respondent's brother had already been provided with a seat in the institute that for the second time such a facility could not be given to the respondent. However, the High Court did not agree with that contention and directed admission of the respondent(Jaya Shree).

When the matter was brought up before us while directing issue of notice we granted interim stay of the operation of the impugned order of the High Court. Thus the respondent was unable to get admission in the institute. In the circumstances, as the course is now

-2-

coming to an end this year no useful purpose will be served by reexamining the various questions that were adjudicated before the High Court. Suffice to say, the questions arising in this matter are kept open for consideration in an appropriate case. The appeal therefore stands disposed of as having become infructuous.

.SP1

.....J@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
[S. RAJENDRA BABU]@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

.....J@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
[DORAISWAMY RAJU]@@
A

New Delhi,@@
AAAAAAAAA
August 7, 2001.