

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).15188-15189/2011

NAGENDER CHAUHAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HIMACHAL PRADESH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report )

Date: 17/11/2011 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr Chetan Chawla, Adv.  
Mr. Sudhir Naagar,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Arun Kumar Beriwal,Adv.  
Mr Rakesh Chitkara, Adv.  
Mr. Ashwani Kumar Dubey ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The ld. Advocate, Mr Rakesh Chitkara appearing for respondent No.2 confirms that they are adopting the counter affidavit filed by respondent No.1 and thereby there may not be separate counter affidavit by respondent No.2. The concerned Assistant Registrar has to verify the proof of service on record as well as statement in the office report dated 30.9.2011 for listing the matter before the Hon'ble Court on 10.10.2011.

It seems that proof of service in the form of acknowledgment of registered post is very well on record on

Item No.53

the said dates. However, a statement is made in the office report that service in respect of respondent Nos. 3 and 4 is awaited. Maybe because of the said statement in the office

report, Hon'ble Court has ordered on 10.10.2011 to list after service is complete. Now in the office report dated 15.11.2011, it is endorsed that service is complete but vakalatnama is awaited in respect of respondent Nos. 3 and 4(AD card received). Registry has to explain that when such AD cards are received, why an improper statement has been made in office report dated 30.9.2011.

List before the Hon'ble Court as per rules.

(S.G.SHAH)  
REGISTRAR

hj