

ITEM NO.43

COURT NO.7

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.11196/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 10-12-2025 in WP(C) No. 10320/2019 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

KARTAR SINGH & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

Date : 24-04-2026 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

For Petitioner(s) :Ms. Askini Shokeen, Adv.  
Mr. Aryan Shokeen, Adv.  
Mr. Satish Hooda, Adv.  
Mr. Abhaya Nath Das, Adv.  
Ms. Geeta Batta, Adv.  
Ms. Sarita Verma, Adv.  
Mr. Abhay Singh, Adv.  
Mrs. Madhulika Jha, Adv.  
Mr. Prateek Jha, Adv.  
Ms. Aakanksha Bhatt, Adv.  
Mr. Yogendra Kumar Verma, Adv.  
Dr. Jyoti Verma, Adv.  
Mr. Rajan Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Satish Kumar, AOR

Mr. K Sree Krishna, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. Heard Ms. Askini Shokeen, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners.
2. It appears *prima facie* from the materials on record that the land of the petitioners came to be acquired.
3. The petitioners went before the High Court with a prayer that they may be granted the benefit of the order passed by the Reference Court dated 11.11.2016 in *Shanti Devi Vs. Union of India and DDA* as well as the judgment dated 16.11.2016 in *Bhagwan Singh and Anr. vs. Union of India and DDA*, respectively. In other words, the petitioners urged before the High Court that they be given the same benefit in view of the statutory mandate under Section 28A of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, "the Act, 1894").
4. The High Court declined to grant any relief saying that there was delay and High Court has no jurisdiction to condone delay insofar as the Section 28A of the Act, 1894 is concerned. The petitioners relied upon the decision of this Court in the case of "*Banwari and Ors. vs. Haryana State*

*Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (HSI IDC) and Another"* Civil Appeal No. 13348 of 2024 arising out of SLP (C) No.12221 of 2022 decided on 10.12.2024.

5. We make it clear that in the event, if the amount of compensation is enhanced, the petitioners will not claim any interest for the delayed payment.

6. Issue notice returnable in four weeks.

7. Dasti service, in addition, is permitted.

8. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to serve notice through the Standing Counsel for the State/respondent.

(CHANDRESH)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(POOJA SHARMA)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)