

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 12515/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 11-12-2025 in RSA No. 92/2025 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru]

H.R. RAVICHANDRA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GOWRAMMA &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

Date : 16-04-2026 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE B.V. NAGARATHNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UJJAL BHUYAN

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. K Parameshwar, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Usha Nandini V., AOR  
Mr. Shanes Methar, Adv.  
Mr. John Thomas Arakal, Adv.  
Ms. Nandana Harikrishnan, Adv.For Respondent(s) :Mr. A N Venugopala Gowda, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, AOR  
Mr. Parikshit Pitale, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

*Prima facie*, we find that the petitioner herein was not served with the suit summons or notices issued by the Trial Court. It is a case of notices not being claimed as the postal remarks say "not claimed". That is neither a case of refusal of service of notice nor is it a case of service of notice. In the circumstances, placing the petitioner herein *ex-parte* by the Trial Court was erroneous. Secondly, the plaintiff was none other than the daughter of defendants and sister of her

contd..

brothers who have now sought to implement the *ex-parte* decree by filing final decree proceedings as the legal representatives of the deceased/plaintiff-decree holder as she was unmarried/single. The respondents herein are the very persons who had sold the suit scheduled property to the petitioner herein. They did not respond to the suit summons. Consequently, the decree is *ex-parte*. It appears that the suit itself was a collusive one. Having sold the suit scheduled property to the petitioner herein, the respondents cannot now claim one-fourth share of the deceased/plaintiff on the ground that they are the legal heirs of the deceased/plaintiff- decree holder.

Hence, issue notice to the respondents.

Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent(s).

Interim stay of the impugned decree and further proceedings in the final decree proceedings, until further orders.

(NEETU SACHDEVA)  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(DIVYA BABBAR)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)