

ITEM NO.4+89

COURT NO.3

SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).4785-4786/2013

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 10/10/2012 in CWP No.13630/2012 and order dated 01/11/2012 in CWP No.11090/2012 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh)

HARYANA URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY &ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

CHANDER BHAN SHARMA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C) Nos.8321-8327/2013

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) Nos.16007-16012/2013

(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No.18312/2013

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing substitution appln. and exemption from filing O.T. and setting aside an abatement and substitution and Office Report)

SLP(C).....CC No.4470/2016

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP and office report)

Date : 12/07/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr.Arun Bhardwaj, AAG
Mr.Ronak Karanpuria, Adv.
Mr.Sumit Sharma, Adv.
Mr.Gaurav Bhardwaj, Adv.
Mr. Vishwa Pal Singh, Adv.

Mr.D.B.Goswami, Adv.
Dr.Sanjay Gupta, Adv.
Mr.Ajay Singh, Adv.
Dr.Sushil Balwada, Adv.

Mr.Vivek Gupta, Adv.

Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

Ms. Naresh Bakshi, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.Pankaj Kumar Singh, Adv.
Ms.Bimla Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, Adv.

Mr.Shish Pal Laler, Adv.
Mr.Ghanshyam Singh, Adv.
Mr.Sonit Sinhmar, Adv.
Mr. Balbir Singh Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale, Adv.

Mr.Madhurendra Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Prakash Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Amit Kumar, Adv.
Mr.Avijit Mani Tripathi, Adv.

Mr.Naresh Kumar, Adv.
Ms.Linthoingambi Thongam, Adv.
Mr.Ashok Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr.Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, Adv.

Mr.Munawwar Naseem, Adv.

Mr.Siddharth Mittal, Adv.
Mr.Surender Singh, Adv.
Ms.Usha Nandini V., Adv.

Mr.Himanshu Gupta, Adv.

Upon hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

Delay in filing SLP(C)...CC No.4470/2016 is condoned.

No ground for interference is made out in exercise of our
jurisdiction under Article 136 of the Constitution of India.

The special leave petitions are accordingly dismissed.

However, the question of law is kept open.

As a sequel to dismissal of the special leave petitions,
nothing further survives in the application for condonation of
delay in filing the application for substitution, the application

for setting aside abatement and the substitution application and the same are accordingly dismissed.

As a sequel to the above, pending interlocutory, if any, also stands disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
AR-CUM-PS

(RENUKA SADANA)
COURT MASTER