

ITEM NO.64

COURT NO.6

SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).16007-16012/2013

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 17/01/2013 in CWP No. 15350/2011,17/01/2013 in CWP No. 20548/2011,17/01/2013 in CWP No. 7057/2012,17/01/2013 in CWP No. 17103/2012,17/01/2013 in CWP No. 17181/2012,17/01/2013 in CWP No. 3120/2012 passed by the High Court Of Punjab & Haryana At Chandigarh)

HARYANA URBAN DEV. AUTHORITY & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MOHINDER SINGH & ORS. ETC.

Respondent(s)

(Office report on default)

Date : 23/11/2015 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR
[IN CHAMBER]

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Sanjay Kr. Visen,Adv.
Mr. Ritu Vendra,Adv.
Ms. Naresh Bakshi,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Prakash Kumar Singh,Adv.
Ms. Purnima Jauhari,Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Mittal,Adv.
Mr. Surender Singh,Adv.
Ms. Usha Nandini. V,Adv.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh,Adv.

Mr. Munawwar Naseem,Adv.

Ms. Sashi Kanjan,Adv.
Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by

It appears that the petitioners has not comply with the

Om Parkash Sharma

Date: 2015.11.23

16:55:57 IST

office objections for more than two years having obtained interim

Reason:

order from this Court.

2

Learned counsel for the petitioners again seeks time to take necessary steps to cure the office objections.

I think it appropriate that the matter be listed before the regular court alongwith the office objections. Ordered accordingly.

[O.P. SHARMA]
AR-cum-PS

[CHANDER BALA]
COURT MASTER