

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).18162/2013

(From the judgement and order dated 23/04/2013 in IA No.965/2013 in FA No.444/2008 of the NATIONAL CONSUMERS DISPUTES REDRESSAL COMMISSION, NEW DELHI)

K. SRIKAR REDDY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SRISHTI ASSOCIATES & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date: 03/07/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. L.N. Rao, Sr. Adv.
Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Adv.
Ms. Bina Madhavan, Adv.
Mr. Shivendra Singh, Adv.
For M/S. Lawyer'S Knit & Co, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. V. Giri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ananga Bhattacharyya, Adv.
Mr. M. Seshagiri, Adv.

Mr. D. Sheshadri Naidu, Adv.
Mr. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, Adv.
Mr. Prabakar Parnam, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard Mr. Nageshwar Rao, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner, Mr. Giri, learned senior counsel appearing for respondent No.5 and Mr. Sheshadri Naidu, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.3, at some length.

We have noted the submissions of the counsel for the petitioner as well as the two counsel appearing for the respondents. Mr. Rao has emphasized that it is desirable that the expert evidence of the doctors, whom the petitioner wanted to produce, be taken on record and the National Commission has rejected the same. Counsel for the respondents, on the other hand, submits that after nearly 10 years, this evidence is being tendered and the National Commission is not in error in rejecting the I.A. No.965/2013 which was moved by the petitioner for putting on record additional medical evidence.

Having recorded the above submissions of both the counsel, we note that the National Commission has observed that if the Commission feels that there is need/necessity of getting expert opinion, it shall

refer the case to an appropriate Institution for appointing a Medical Board to give expert opinion.

We are of the opinion that at this stage, the National Commission may as well consider the expert opinions which the petitioner wants to tender. With these observations, this special leave petition is disposed of.

(A.S. BISHT)
COURT MASTER

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER