

S UP R E M E C OUR T O F I N D I A
R ECO R D O F P R OC E E D I N G S
CIVI L AP P E A L NO(s). 59 7 2 OF 20 0 1

S. SHAM SUD E E N Appellant (s)

VER SUS

DIS T T .CO L L E C T O R , T H I R U V A N A N T H A P U R A M & ORS Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 12 / 0 2 / 2 0 0 9 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON' B L E MR. JUS T I C E DA L V E E R BHA N D A R I
HON' B L E MR. JUS T I C E HAR J I T SIN G H B E D I

For Appellant(s) Mr. Romy Chacko, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. G. Pr a k a s h , Adv.

Mr. A. Raghun ath, Adv. (N.P.)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
OR D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(K.K. Chawla)
AR- Cum- P S

(Neeru Bal a Vij)
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]
IN TH E SUP R E M E COUR T OF INDI A

CIVI L AP P E L L A T E JUR I S D I C T I O N

CIVI L AP P E A L NO.5 9 7 2 OF 2 0 0 1

S. SHAM SUD E E N
AP P E L L A N T (S) ...

VE R S U S

DIS T T .CO L L E C T O R , T H I R U V A N A N T H A P U R A M & ORS ...
R E S P O N D E N T (S)

OR D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused
the impugned judgment. In our considered view, no interference is
called for. The appeal being devoid of any merit is, accordingly,
dismissed.

.....J.
(Dalveer Bha nd a ri)

.....J.
(Harjit Singh Bedi)

New Delhi;
Febru a ry 1 2, 2 0 0 9 .