

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) DIARY NO(S). 14714/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 23-01-2026 in IA No. 1/2026 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru]

THE DIRECTOR & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SIDDARAJU S.P

Respondent(s)

IA No. 92498/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 92499/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 92505/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE SLP WITHOUT CERTIFIED/PLAIN COPY OF IMPUGNED ORDER

Date : 10-04-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ MISRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. ANJARIA

For Petitioner(s) :

Ms. Rooh-e-hina Dua, AOR
Ms. Shrutika Garg, Adv.
Mr. Riccky Chaudhary, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Permission to file SLP without certified/plain copy of the impugned order is granted.
2. On 22.07.2024, the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru disposed of Writ Petition No.14042 of 2024 by requiring the first and second respondents therein namely, the

petitioners herein, to consider writ petitioners' representation for absorption.

3. The case of the petitioners is that pursuant to the order dated 22.07.2024, they have considered and rejected the representation. However, a contempt proceeding has been initiated against the petitioners for not considering the representation in the terms directed. Those proceedings are pending. In the interregnum, in a disposed of writ appeal against the order dated 22.07.2024, an application was submitted before the High Court which came to be dismissed by the impugned order as being misconceived.
4. We have perused the impugned order. It appears that since the issue regarding compliance of the order dated 22.07.2024 is being examined by the Contempt Court, the High Court rejected the application which sought an adjudication on the same issue.
5. We do not find any error in the view taken by the High Court. The special leave petition is accordingly dismissed. If the petitioner is aggrieved by any order passed in the contempt proceedings, he may take recourse to appropriate remedy.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(KAVITA PAHUJA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(SAPNA BANSAL)
COURT MASTER (NSH)