

l&
W.P(C)No. 501 OF 2001
ITEM No.3

Court No. 2

SECTION PIL
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(Civil) No.501/2001

J.P. SHARMA

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for directions and intervention and office report)

With
T.P.(C)No.527/2003

Date : 10/12/2004 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. SANTOSH HEGDE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

For Petitioner (s)Mr. Anis Suhrawardy,Adv.

In T.P.(C) 527/03Mr. Suryakant, Adv.
Mr. Rajendra Singhvi, Adv.

For Respondent (s)Mr. Ashok Bhan, Adv.
For DelhiMs. Sunita Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal,Adv.
Mrs Anil Katiyar,Adv.
Ms. Shushma Suri, Adv.

for Atomic Energy Ms. Varuna Bhandari, Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.
for UT of Andman &
Nicobar, Daman & Diu,
Dadar & Nagar Haweli &Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.
LakshdweepMr. D.S. Mahra,Adv.

For PunjabMr. R.K. Rathore,Addl.Adv.Genl.Punjab
Mr. S. Krishna Raj, Adv.
Mr. B.R. Jad, Adv.
Mr. J.P. Dhanda, Adv.

For RajasthanMr. Aruneshwar Gupata, AAG.
Mr. Naveen Kumar Singh, Adv.
Ms. Shivangi, Adv.

Mr. U.Hazarika ,Adv.
For NagalandMr. Satya Mitra, Adv.
Ms. Sumita Hazarika,Adv.

For MaharashtraMr. S.S. Shinde, Adv.
Mr. R.K.Adsure, Adv.

Mr. S.V. Deshpande,Adv.

For PondicherryMr. V.G. Pragasam,Adv.

For Meghalaya Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee,Adv.

For M.P.Mr. Sakesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Satish K. Agnihotri, Adv.
Mr. B.S. Banthia,Adv.

For Arunachal Pradesh Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.

For Haryana Mr. Praveen Kumar Rai, Adv.
Ms. Kavita Wadia, Adv.

For Manipur Mr. KH Nobin Singh, Adv.
Mr. S. Biswajit Meite, Adv.

For Gujarat & Mizoram Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.
Ms. Sadhna Sandhu, Adv.

For Bihar Mrs. Sunita R. Singh, Adv.
Mr. B.B. Singh, Adv.

For Chandigarh Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.
Mr. Saqib, Adv.

For Karnataka Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.

For Kerala Mr. John Mathew, Adv.
Mr. K.R. Sasiprabhu, Adv.

For Chhattisgarh Ms. Suparna Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, Adv.
Ms. Deepti Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Prakash Srivastava, Adv.

For Jharkhand Mr. Rajesh Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Ashok Mathur, Adv.

For Uttaranchal Ms. Rachna Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Govind Kaushik, Adv.

For H.P. Mr. J.S. Attri, Adv.

For A.P. Mr. Manoj Saxena, Adv.
Mr. Mohan Prasad Meharia, Adv.
Mr. S.K. Mitra, Adv.
Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.

For West Bengal Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Adv.

For Tamil Nadu Mr. R. Ayyam Perumal, Adv.
Mr. S. Vallinayagam, Adv.
Mrs. Revathy Raghavan, Adv.

For Orissa Mr. R.S. Jena, Adv.

For Tripura Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

For Sikkim Mr. A. Mariaputham, Adv.
Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.
For M/s Arputham, Aruna & Co., Adv.

For AIIMS Mr. Mukul Gupta, Adv.

for U.P. Mr. Pramod Dayal, Adv.

for Assam Ms. Krishna Sarma, Adv.
Mr. V.K. Sidharthan, Adv.
Mr. Atul Kumar, Adv.
for M/s. Corporate Law Group, Adv.

For Goa Mr. Bhavanishanker V. Gadnis, Adv.
Mr. Shiv Kumar Suri, Adv.
Ms. A. Subhashini, Adv.

Mr. Rajeev Sharma,Adv.

Mrs. Rachna Gupta,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

As per the office report States of Haryana, Punjab, Meghalaya, Jharkhand, U.P. and Uttaranchal have not filed their response. Learned counsel appearing for the State of Meghalaya says that their response is ready but the office did not accept because there was no specific direction in the order in this regard. The same may be accepted.

Learned counsel appearing for the States of Haryana, Jharkhand and Punjab seeks four weeks' time to file their response. Four weeks' time is granted finally. States of U.P. and Uttaranchal are still not represented. We grant them four weeks' time finally, if their response is not filed by that period the respective Chief Secretaries of these States shall be summoned to be present in the Court.

Call this matter after four weeks.

(PAWAN KUMAR)
COURT MASTER

(PREM PRAKASH)
COURT MASTER